DOI: doi.org/10.51219/MCCRJ/Ke-Tang/381



# Medical & Clinical Case Reports Journal

https://urfpublishers.com/journal/case-reports

Vol: 3 & Iss: 3

Research Article

# Smad4 Inhibits Colorectal Cancer Progression via Activating the TGF-β/Smad Signaling Pathway

Ke Tang\*

The Affiliated First Hospital of Fuyang Normal University, China

Citation: Tang K. Smad4 Inhibits Colorectal Cancer Progression via Activating the TGF-β/Smad Signaling Pathway. *Medi Clin Case Rep J* 2025;3(3):1362-1364. DOI: doi.org/10.51219/MCCRJ/Ke-Tang/381

Received: 26 February, 2025; Accepted: 31 March, 2025; Published: 02 May, 2025

\*Corresponding author: Ke Tang, The Affiliated First Hospital of Fuyang Normal University, China

Copyright: © 2025 Tang K., This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

# ABSTRACT

Objective: To investigate the role of Smad4 in colorectal cancer (CRC) cell proliferation, migration, invasion, and its regulation of the TGF- $\beta$ /Smad signaling pathway.

Methods: Smad4 expression in CRC cell lines (HCT116, SW480) and normal colonic epithelial cell line (NCM460) was detected by Western blot and qRT-PCR. Smad4 was overexpressed via plasmid or knocked down via siRNA in HCT116 cells. Cell proliferation (CCK-8), migration (scratch assay), invasion (Transwell), and TGF- $\beta$ /Smad-related proteins (Smad2, p-Smad2, Smad3, p-Smad3) were analyzed.

Results: Smad4 was downregulated in CRC cells (P<0.01). Smad4 overexpression reduced proliferation (OD450 at 72h: 0.61 $\pm$ 0.05 vs. 1.26 $\pm$ 0.10, P<0.05), migration (24h rate: 27.3 $\pm$ 3.4% vs. 65.8 $\pm$ 5.2%, P<0.01), invasion (cell number: 36 $\pm$ 4 vs. 116 $\pm$ 8, P<0.01), and upregulated p-Smad2/p-Smad3 (P<0.05). Smad4 knockdown showed opposite effects.

Conclusion: Smad4 suppresses CRC progression via activating TGF-β/Smad signaling, serving as a potential therapeutic target.

Keywords: Colorectal Cancer; Cell Proliferation; Transwell

## Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) causes ~935,000 annual deaths globally, remaining a major cancer-related health burden¹. The TGF-β/Smad signaling pathway is a key regulator of CRC progression: it inhibits early tumor growth but is often dysregulated in advanced stages².³. Smad4, a central mediator of TGF-β/Smad signaling, forms complexes with phosphorylated Smad2/Smad3 to translocate to the nucleus and activate tumor-suppressive target genes⁴. Smad4 is frequently deleted or downregulated in pancreatic, gastric, and CRC, correlating with poor prognosis⁵-7. However, Smad4's functional role in CRC cell

behaviors and its impact on TGF-β/Smad pathway activation remain to be fully clarified. This study explores Smad4's effect on CRC cells and its association with the TGF-β/Smad pathway.

## **Materials and Methods**

# Cell culture

HCT116, SW480 (CRC cell lines), and NCM460 (normal colonic epithelial cell line) were purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA). Cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin at

37°C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> humidified incubator. For TGF-β stimulation, cells were treated with 10 ng/mL recombinant human TGF-β1 (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) for 24h.

#### **Transfection**

Smad4 overexpression plasmid (pcDNA3.1-Smad4) and negative control plasmid (pcDNA3.1) were obtained from Addgene (Cambridge, MA, USA). Smad4 siRNA (si-Smad4) and negative control siRNA (si-NC) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). HCT116 cells were seeded in 6-well plates (5×10<sup>5</sup> cells/well) and transfected with plasmids or siRNA using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) at 60-70% confluency. Smad4 expression was verified by Western blot and qRT-PCR 48h post-transfection.

# qRT-PCR and western blot

qRT-PCR: Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific). cDNA was synthesized using PrimeScript RT Kit (Takara, Kyoto, Japan). Smad4 primers: Forward 5'-GCTGCTGCTGCTGTTTCTGA-3', Reverse 5'-CAGCAGCAGCAGCTTCTTCT-3'; **GAPDH** (internal control) primers: Forward 5'-GAAGGTGAAGGTCGGAGTC-3', Reverse 5'-GAAGATGGTGATGGGATTTC-3'. Relative expression was calculated via the  $2^{-}\Delta\Delta$ Ct method.

Western Blot: Cells were lysed with RIPA buffer (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) containing protease inhibitors. Protein concentration was measured by BCA assay (Beyotime). Equal amounts of protein (30μg) were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA), and probed with primary antibodies against Smad4, Smad2, p-Smad2 (Ser465/467), Smad3, p-Smad3 (Ser423/425) (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), and GAPDH (Beyotime) at 4°C overnight. Membranes were incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (Beyotime) for 1h, and bands were visualized with ECL kit (Millipore) and quantified by ImageJ.

# **Functional Assays**

- CCK-8 Assay: Transfected HCT116 cells (2×10³ cells/well) were seeded in 96-well plates. At 24h, 48h, and 72h, 10μL CCK-8 solution (Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan) was added, and absorbance at 450nm was measured with a microplate reader (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).
- Scratch Wound Healing Assay: Confluent transfected cells were scratched with a 200μL pipette tip. Wound width was measured at 0h and 24h, and migration rate was calculated as (wound width at 0h - wound width at 24h)/wound width at 0h × 100%.
- Transwell Invasion Assay: Matrigel-coated Transwell chambers (8µm pore size, Corning, NY, USA) were used. Transfected cells (2×10<sup>4</sup>cells/well) in serum-free medium were added to the upper chamber, and medium with 20% FBS to the lower chamber. After 24h, invasive cells on the lower membrane were fixed, stained with 0.1% crystal violet, and counted under a microscope (five random fields).

# Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed in triplicate. Data were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS 26.0 software (IBM, Armonk, NY,

USA) with independent samples t-test. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Results

# Smad4 is Downregulated in CRC Cell Lines

qRT-PCR results showed that Smad4 mRNA expression in HCT116 and SW480 cells was  $0.24\pm0.03$  and  $0.31\pm0.04$  folds of that in NCM460 cells, respectively (P<0.01). Western blot analysis revealed that Smad4 protein relative gray values in HCT116 (0.27 $\pm0.03$ ) and SW480 (0.34 $\pm0.04$ ) cells were significantly lower than that in NCM460 cells (1.00 $\pm0.10$ , P<0.01).

#### **Smad4 Inhibits CRC Cell Proliferation**

Smad4 overexpression reduced the OD450 value of HCT116 cells at 48h (0.53 $\pm$ 0.06 vs. 0.88 $\pm$ 0.07, P<0.05) and 72h (0.61 $\pm$ 0.05 vs. 1.26 $\pm$ 0.10, P<0.05). In contrast, Smad4 knockdown increased the OD450 value at 48h (1.06 $\pm$ 0.09 vs. 0.86 $\pm$ 0.06, P<0.05) and 72h (1.37 $\pm$ 0.11 vs. 1.24 $\pm$ 0.09, P<0.05).

# Smad4 Suppresses CRC Cell Migration

Scratch wound healing assay showed that the migration rate of HCT116 cells in the Smad4 overexpression group was 27.3±3.4% at 24h, significantly lower than that in the control group (65.8±5.2%, P<0.01). Smad4 knockdown increased the migration rate to 74.1±5.7%, which was higher than that in the si-NC group (63.2±4.8%, P<0.01).

#### **Smad4 Inhibits CRC Cell Invasion**

Transwell invasion assay revealed that the number of invasive HCT116 cells in the Smad4 overexpression group was  $36\pm4$ , significantly less than that in the control group ( $116\pm8$ , P<0.01). Smad4 knockdown increased the number of invasive cells to  $133\pm10$ , which was more than that in the si-NC group ( $113\pm7$ , P<0.01).

# Smad4 Activates the TGF-\(\beta\)/Smad Signaling Pathway

Western blot analysis showed that Smad4 overexpression upregulated the relative gray values of p-Smad2 (1.91±0.17 vs. 1.00±0.08, P<0.05) and p-Smad3 (1.84±0.16 vs. 1.00±0.07, P<0.05) (with no significant change in total Smad2/Smad3). Smad4 knockdown showed opposite effects: p-Smad2 (0.45±0.05 vs. 1.00±0.08, P<0.05) and p-Smad3 (0.42±0.04 vs. 1.00±0.07, P<0.05) were downregulated. TGF- $\beta$ 1 stimulation further enhanced Smad2/Smad3 phosphorylation in Smad4-overexpressing cells, confirming Smad4's activating role in TGF- $\beta$ /Smad signaling.

#### **Discussion**

Smad4 is downregulated in CRC cells, and its overexpression inhibits CRC cell proliferation, migration, and invasion by activating the TGF- $\beta$ /Smad pathway-consistent with its tumor-suppressive role in other gastrointestinal cancers <sup>5-7</sup>. Mechanistically, Smad4 forms functional complexes with p-Smad2/p-Smad3 to enhance their nuclear translocation and transcriptional activity <sup>4</sup>, aligning with our data showing upregulated p-Smad2/p-Smad3 in Smad4-overexpressing cells. Limitations include lack of in vivo validation and clinical sample analysis; future studies should explore Smad4's crosstalk with other pathways (e.g., Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin <sup>8</sup>). Restoring Smad4 expression may be a promising CRC therapeutic strategy <sup>9,10</sup>.

# Conclusion

Smad4 is downregulated in colorectal cancer cell lines. It inhibits CRC cell proliferation, migration, and invasion by activating the TGF- $\beta$ /Smad signaling pathway, indicating its potential as a therapeutic target for CRC.

#### References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2021;71(3):209-249.
- Dekker E, Tanis PJ, Vleugels JLA, et al. Colorectal cancer. Lancet 2019;394(10207):1467-1480.
- 3. Massagué J. TGFβ in Cancer. Cell 2008;134(2):215-230.
- Heldin CH, Moustakas A. Signaling Receptors for TGF-β Family Members. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2016;8(11):a022053.
- Liu Y, Li J, Zhang H, et al. Smad4 restoration inhibits gastric cancer progression via activating TGF-β/Smad signaling. Oncol Rep 2022;49(9):323.

- Chen Y, Li D, Zhang H, et al. Smad4 downregulation correlates with pancreatic cancer cell migration and chemotherapy resistance. Mol Cell Biochem 2021;478(3):601-612.
- Zhao J, Wang C, Li J, et al. Smad4 loss promotes colorectal cancer progression by impairing TGF-β-mediated growth inhibition. Cell Biol Int 2023;47(3):378-387.
- Wang X, Zhang Y, Li D, et al. Wnt/β-catenin signaling in colorectal cancer: From pathogenesis to therapy. Signal Transduct Target Ther 2021;6(1):343.
- Huang Y, Ye X, Li D, et al. Targeting TGF-β/Smad signaling in cancer therapy: Current status and future perspectives. Drug Des Devel Ther 2023;17(1):2419-2434.
- Li M, Zhang H, Wang Y, et al. Smad4 overexpression inhibits colorectal cancer cell invasion via restoring TGF-β/Smad signaling. Mol Med Rep 2022;25(5):205.