ISSN: 2584-0355
DOI: doi.org/10.51219/MCCRJ/Ian-Caldeira-Ruppen/252

URF PUBLISHERS
connect with research world

Medical & Clinical Case Reports Journal

https://urfpublishers.com/journal/case-reports

Vol: 3 & Iss: 2

Review

Postoperative Fistulas: Approach and Management: A Brief Review

Maisa Pivato Ziviani[1], Fernando de Oliveira Dutra[2], Ian Caldeira Ruppen[1*], Jordana Luiza Ferreira de Campos[1], Lara Beatriz Dallaqua Bitiati[1], André Cesar Leandro[1], Larissa da Rosa Piccoli[1], Tauane Cano Barreto[1], Emily Eduarda Hellmann[1], Ana Paula Mendes[1], Priscila De Oliveira Barros[1], Camilla Antunes Zanini3, Sarila Hali Kloster Lopes[1], Maria Eduarda Binde[1], Jakson Roberto Gaeski de Chaves[1], Bruno Tadim Carderelli[4], Nathan Roberto Cechini[5], Isabele Benites Caetano[6], Henrique Marques Dagostin[7] and Ana Carolina Langendyk Rodrigues[1]

[1]Centro Universitário Ingá - Uningá, Maringá, PR, Brazil

[2]Hospital Memorial Uningá - HMU, Maringá, PR, Brazil

[3]Faculdade Morgana Potrich, Mineiros, GO, Brazil

[4]Faculdade Cesumar - Unicesumar, Maringá, PR Brazil

[5]Centro Universitário Integrado, Campo Mourão, PR, Brazil

[6]Universidade Federal do Paraná, Toledo-PR, Brazil

[7]Universidade Federal Rural do Semi-Árido - UFERSA Mossoró RN, Brazil

Citation: Ziviani MP, Dutra FO, Ruppen IC, et al. Postoperative Fistulas: Approach and Management: A Brief Review. *Medi Clin Case Rep J* 2025;3(2):966-968. DOI: doi.org/10.51219/MCCRJ/Ian-Caldeira-Ruppen/252

Received: 01 June, 2025; **Accepted:** 06 June, 2025; **Published:** 09 June, 2025

***Corresponding author:** Ian Caldeira Ruppen, Centro Universitário Ingá - Uningá, Maringá, Paraná, Brazil. Email: Ian2ruppen@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Post-operative fistulas rank among the surgical complications with the greatest clinical and economic impact. They arise from the development of an abnormal communication between viscera or between a viscus and the skin leading to loss of gastrointestinal contents, sepsis and malnutrition. Incidence ranges from 3 % to 15 % after abdominal surgery and is higher in malnourished patients or those undergoing emergency procedures. Early diagnosis, based on clinical signs and high-resolution imaging, is crucial for therapeutic success. Management must combine sepsis source control, maintenance of fluid-electrolyte balance, individualized nutritional support and a timely choice between conservative treatment and surgical intervention. Minimally invasive techniques such as image-guided percutaneous drainage, biological sealants and endoscopic therapy have reduced the need for extensive re-operations. At the same time, multidisciplinary protocols add value to care by integrating surgeons, radiologists, nutritionists, stoma care nurses and psychologists. Despite progress, challenges persist, including case heterogeneity, scarcity of randomized clinical trials and lack of universally accepted guidelines. Understanding pathophysiology, risk factors and treatment options refines clinical decision-making, reduces morbidity and optimizes quality of life for affected patients. Insight into mechanisms involving ischemia, infection and technical failure of the anastomosis underpins preventive strategies such as optimizing blood supply, judicious suture use and appropriate antibiotic prophylaxis. Evidence-based protocols and well-trained teams are essential to lower incidence and improve outcomes.

Keywords: Fistulas; Post-operative; Management; Surgical approach; Complications

Introduction

Post-operative fistulas are pathological communications between epithelial-lined structures that develop after surgical intervention, creating an abnormal flow of bodily contents. Although described since the late 19th century, modern interest stems from their substantial impact on morbidity, length of hospital stay and health-care costs[1]. Overall incidence ranges from 0.8 % to 15 %, varying with procedure type, technique and patient condition[2]. Classic risk factors include malnutrition, prolonged corticosteroid therapy, prior radiotherapy, local infection, tissue hypoxia and microvascular perfusion failures at the anastomosis[3].

Diagnosis requires high suspicion. Signs such as fever, tachycardia, enteric discharge through drains or wounds and fluid-electrolyte imbalance should alert the team to a possible fistulous tract. Contrast-enhanced computed tomography is the gold standard for delineating the tract and guiding drainage; fistulography and magnetic resonance imaging complement complex cases[4]. With enhanced-recovery protocols shortening post-operative stays, early outpatient detection is increasingly important. Pathophysiologically, fistula formation represents an imbalance between destructive forces infection and ischemia and regenerative mechanisms dependent on adequate oxygenation and nutritional supply. Surgical anastomosis fails when local bacterial load exceeds tissue resistance or suture tension surpasses healing capacity[5].

This knowledge has driven technical innovations such as next-generation absorbable sutures, biological glues and synthetic mesh reinforcements. The socioeconomic burden is substantial: European studies estimate up to a 250 % cost increase and ~8 % rise in mortality[6]. Prolonged loss of digestive fluids causes electrolyte disturbances and protein malabsorption, fueling a profound catabolic state. Nutritional care is therefore indispensable, especially in high-output fistulas where losses may exceed 500 mL/24 h[7]. Nonetheless, intervention criteria lack standardization. Some centers favor conservative treatment for the first four weeks provided there is no sepsis or obstruction, whereas others advocate early revision surgery, citing shorter total healing times[8]. This heterogeneity underscores the need for critical literature reviews and multicenter controlled trials.

Data-science advances have enabled machine-learning models that identify high-risk patients even before surgery. Variables such as lymphocyte count, serum albumin, operative time and anastomotic complexity yield >85 % accuracy in predicting anastomotic dehiscence[9]. Integrating these tools into electronic records may prompt prophylactic measures, such as pre-operative nutritional optimization or safer reconstructive techniques. Preventive strategies also include intra-operative antibacterial irrigation and perfusion monitoring with near-infrared fluorescence, which has cut colorectal fistula rates by up to 40 %[10]. Implementation, however, demands specialized training and equipment investment, limiting access in smaller centers.

Objectives

This article aims to provide an updated synthesis on the management of post-operative fistulas, addressing epidemiology, risk factors, diagnostic methods, therapeutic options and future perspectives to guide evidence-based clinical decisions and promote comprehensive patient care.

Materials and Methods

A literature review was conducted using PubMed, SciELO, Google Scholar and ScienceDirect databases.

Discussion

Therapeutic management must start with clinical stabilization. Volume resuscitation, correction of electrolyte disturbances and broad-spectrum antibiotics tailored to fistula fluid cultures constitute the first 24 hours of care[11]. Fistula output must be quantified: low-output fistulas (<200 mL/24 h) close spontaneously in >70 % of cases, whereas high-output fistulas usually require invasive intervention[12]. Early nutritional support is mandatory. Enteral nutrition is preferable for mucosal integrity but often unfeasible; total parenteral nutrition providing 1.5 g/kg/day of protein shortened closure time from 54 to 38 days on average[7]. Glutamine and omega-3 supplementation remain investigational[6].

For sepsis control, image-guided percutaneous drainage is the modality of choice for associated abdominal collections, achieving >90 % technical success and significantly cutting relaparotomy rates[4]. If drainage alone fails, therapeutic endoscopy may be employed. Endoclips, self-expandable stents and endoluminal vacuum systems promote tract occlusion and granulation[13], with closure rates >80 % in selected esophageal, gastroduodenal and colorectal fistulas. Where extensive tissue loss or irreversible ischemia exists, revision surgery remains necessary. Timing is controversial: early surgery (<7 days) lowers mortality but increases secondary anastomotic failure; some advocate staged intervention after inflammation subsides[14]. Decisions should weigh hemodynamics, sepsis, tissue perfusion and team expertise.

Robotic technology expands surgical options, offering three-dimensional vision and tremor filtration for precise dissection in hostile fields such as irradiated pelvis[9]. Case reports note reduced conversion rates and blood loss, though high cost limits adoption. Biological sealants of fibrin or collagen show good results as adjuncts for persistent cutaneous fistulas, providing temporary closure to optimize nutrition before definitive repair[10]. Multidisciplinary dynamics are crucial: integrated protocols reduce hospital stay by up to 30 % and mortality from 12 % to 7 %[2]. Stoma care nurses protect skin and control odor, while psychologists support treatment adherence. This holistic view should extend from admission through outpatient follow-up.

Future prospects include artificial-intelligence tools that process large surgical datasets to reveal non-intuitive risk combinations, guiding personalized prophylaxis[1]. Bioactive biomaterials such as growth-factor-impregnated hydrogels represent the next frontier in accelerating healing; ongoing trials will clarify their role and cost-effectiveness.

Conclusion

Post-operative fistulas remain a major clinical challenge, requiring comprehensive strategies encompassing prevention, early diagnosis and individualized treatment. Success rests on hemodynamic support, adequate nutrition, infection control and judicious timing and type of invasive intervention[8]. Percutaneous drainage, endoscopic therapy and minimally invasive surgery form technical cornerstones which, combined with multidisciplinary protocols, have markedly reduced

mortality and hospital stay in the past decade[2]. Nonetheless, case heterogeneity and the paucity of randomized trials limit the strength of current recommendations. Prospective research focusing on clear output criteria, optimal timing for revision surgery and reliable indicators of endoscopic success is a priority[6]. Consolidation of multicenter registries such as EARFistula will raise evidence levels and refine predictive models.

Prevention is equally critical. Strategies including pre-operative nutritional optimization, meticulous perfusion assessment and reinforced sutures have significantly decreased anastomotic dehiscence[10]. Near-infrared fluorescence, tissue perfusion pressure monitoring and antibacterial irrigation are promising adjuncts that warrant progressive adoption once validated for cost-effectiveness.

The human dimension must not be overlooked: pain, stigma, financial loss and psychosocial impact are common, demanding continuous multiprofessional support including psychological counseling, self-care training and family support networks[15]. Continuous education programs for professionals and patients enhance adherence and improve outcomes. Finally, the digital revolution already aids complication mitigation: AI tools can stratify risk, suggest interventions and detect complications in real time, while telemedicine offers safe post-discharge follow-up, reducing unnecessary readmissions[9]. The convergence of technological innovation and clinical expertise promises a future of increasingly personalized care.

References

1. Barbosa JF, et al. Protocolos terapêuticos em fístulas: evidências e desafios. Revista Brasileira de Cirurgia Digestiva 2019;33(1):12-21.
2. Albuquerque LF, et al. Fístulas pós-operatórias: revisão de literatura. Revista Brasileira de Cirurgia 2018;40(2):123-134.
3. Souza LM, Almeida FR. Fatores de risco para o desenvolvimento de fístulas pós-operatórias. Cadernos de Saúde 2018;27(1):55-63.
4. Costa RP, Martins EL. O papel da imagem no manejo das fístulas abdominais. Radiologia em Foco 2021;31(2):89-97.
5. Ferreira TL, Moraes HM. Abordagem multidisciplinar em complicações cirúrgicas. Revista de Saúde Cirúrgica 2017;27(2):101-110.
6. Mendes AC, et al. Abordagem diagnóstica das fístulas pós-operatórias: uma revisão sistemática. J Surg Res 2018;63(1):37-45.
7. Lima PS, et al. Impacto do suporte nutricional no tratamento de fístulas pós-operatórias. Nutrição Clínica 2019;18(3):165-172.
8. Pereira JD, Carvalho AM. Fístulas pós-operatórias: fatores de risco e prognóstico. Revista Médica de Pesquisa 2020;32(4):321-330.
9. Gomes FA, Souza DR. Inovações tecnológicas na cirurgia reconstrutiva de fístulas. Revista de Tecnologia Médica 2020;22(4):205-213.
10. Oliveira SR, et al. Técnicas minimamente invasivas no manejo de fístulas abdominais. Cirurgia Atual 2021;29(1):45-54.
11. Santos MA, Silva RC. Abordagens terapêuticas em fístulas intestinais. Jornal de Gastroenterologia 2019;55(3):215-226.
12. Pimentel VA, et al. Estratégias de manejo em fístulas de alta saída. Revista de Cirurgia Avançada 2021;34(3):99-107.
13. Teixeira PQ, et al. Abordagem integrada no manejo de complicações cirúrgicas. Revista de Prática Cirúrgica 2020;40(4):285-293.
14. Rodrigues ME, Ferreira GC. Complicações pós-operatórias: fístulas e suas implicações. Revista Médica 2020;45(2):157-165.
15. Silva CR, Costa ML. Fístulas pós-operatórias: avanços e perspectivas futuras. Jornal de Estudos Clínicos 2021;29(2):123-132.