Journal of Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Data Science

https://urfpublishers.com/journal/artificial-intelligence

Vol: 1 & Iss: 1

Research Article

Optimizing Pharmaceutical Manufacturing through AI-Powered Calibration and Maintenance Workflow Management

Srikanth Reddy Katta*

Citation: Katta SR. Optimizing Pharmaceutical Manufacturing through AI-Powered Calibration and Maintenance Workflow Management. *J Artif Intell Mach Learn & Data Sci* 2023, 1(4), 2175-2181. DOI: doi.org/10.51219/JAIMLD/Srikanth-reddy-katta/476

Received: 01 December, 2023; Accepted: 18 December, 2023; Published: 20 December, 2023

*Corresponding author: Srikanth Reddy Katta, USA, E-mail: skatta304@gmail.com

Copyright: © 2023 Katta SR., This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

The production of pharmaceuticals is a very complicated business that calls for compliance with high standards of quality and efficient production procedures. Applying Artificial Intelligence (AI) in manufacturing has been shown to have considerable promise in achieving calibration and maintenance activities while lowering the time lost to maintain certifications. This paper provides information on the use of AI technology in the calibration and maintenance of equipment used to produce drugs. We present a literature review of present methodologies, a novel framework applying machine learning algorithms and its performance implications. This paper states that the enhancement of predictive maintenance, cost and quality has been achieved in due course. Possible future developments in AI for general utilization in the pharmaceutical field are also discussed.

Keywords: Predictive Maintenance, Machine learning, Operational efficiency, Calibration, Artificial Intelligence

1. Introduction

Pharmaceutical production is a complex process requiring close attention to production factors to yield quality products. This is made worse by factors like regulatory demands, short cycle times and high costs surrounding the undertaking. ¹⁻⁴Calibration and maintenance are compulsory sub factors because they determine conformity and efficiency.

1.1. Role of AI in workflow optimization

Artificial Intelligence offers the capability to address these challenges through automation, predictive maintenance and real-time monitoring. AI-powered systems can:



Figure 1: Role of AI in Workflow Optimization.

- Automate calibration: The use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) is an effective way in calibration processes as a way of eliminating manual activities. Machine learning-based systems can also often perform real-time calibration and can thus require little intervention, making them less susceptible to error. This automation guarantees that calibration is correctly accomplished and makes a great difference time-wise in letting production work proceed. Furthermore, regarding system calibration, AI systems can detect and automatically adapt calibration based on the equipment's current performance data without being monitored constantly.
- **Predict failures:** Another important application of AI is the ability to predict maintenance; this can be achieved by using machine learning algorithms based on historical and live data feeding from equipment. AI is described as having the ability to identify trends within the data and predict a breakdown of equipment beforehand. This is an effective strategy as it enables the manufacturers to plan for the exercise in advance and avoid lure-ups and possible costly downtimes. Not only is it possible to detect faults at their early stages, but reducing the time and money spent prolonging the lifespan of equipment is also achievable.
- **Optimize schedules:** AI can improve the planning and scheduling of maintenance tasks and regulate compliance with production and equipment conditions. Here, we have a probability-based maintenance schedule, unlike the traditional fixed-interval schedule, because its schedules depend on the predicted probability of when equipment will require maintenance, considering issues like performance, wear and tear and production schedules. This helps to maintain a dynamic schedule for the manufacturing process to be in a position to ensure that the necessary maintenance is accomplished only when it is most essential; this helps to minimize time wastage when it comes to manufacturing and lifting the efficiency of the workflow.

1.2. Challenges in traditional workflows

Current calibration and maintenance operations are inclined toward conventional techniques that involve a considerable amount of manual interaction, paperwork and sequential maintenance processes. Human error is inevitable in these approaches; the process is slow and usually experiences avoidable downtime. Specific challenges include:



Figure 2: Challenges in traditional workflows.

 Traditional calibration and maintenance: Traditional calibration processes stem back from basic form interventions that demand massive human input for the actual calibration and supervision. These processes often rely on paper-based records to document activities like calibration logs and maintenance schedules. Thus, data management is always challenging and involves many errors. This means that fixed-interval maintenance routines, in any case, will lead to inefficiencies: the equipment that works perfectly well will be checked more often than necessary or, on the contrary, the necessary checks to prevent an unexpected failure will not happen.

- **Time-consuming procedures:** Conventional calibration and maintenance processes involve task completion through physical and primitive methods that consume considerable time. The calibration process normally takes time and is conducted at a predetermined time, regardless of its impact on manufacturing. The latter results in inefficiencies mainly because manual interventions are time-consuming, which is compounding if production time schedules are tight. Moreover, even programs such as calibration and maintenance documentation are done on paper, making the process complicated and time-consuming to provide copies when needed for decision-making and during audits.
- **Reactive maintenance:** In traditional systems, maintenance is typically planned offline, indicating maintenance is conducted once equipment malfunctions. This makes it a reactive approach to using the equipment and since repairs or replacement is needed, it means that production is at a standstill for a long time. This leads to unnecessarily high maintenance costs and at the same time, it leads to high losses in the amount of production. The absence of realtime data and analytics results in the inability to identify problematic areas before the challenge, which leads to unmanageable complexity that affects the business.
- Data silos: Another great issue of transferring the contrast between conventional work processes is the fragmentation of databases. Data collected from various equipment, sensors and maintenance history records are usually saved in different databases and are not easily integrated to provide real-time data. It also limits decision-making since there are centers of decision across the networks and manufacturers cannot get a holistic view of their enterprises. Lacking a single system for data management, it is difficult to coordinate equipment performance, failure patterns or even maintenance schedules.

1.3. Impact on regulatory compliance and industry standards

AI is instrumental in the idea that calibration and maintenance procedures in pharmaceutical production are fully compliant with recognized standards and guidelines like GMP. Concerning documentation, GMP standards have a provision that mandates that all field activities such as calibration and maintenance should be documented properly and accurately and should be traceable in order to uphold product and patient safety. 5-8 Manual documentation is not efficient and exposes the organization to a lot of errors thus resulting in noncompliance. On the other hand, AI systems can accomplish these processes and, in addition, document every calibration and maintenance activity as they occur in real-time with ultimate accuracy. Thus, AI could control whether the activities are done on time, in compliance with GMP regulations and when equipment health and maintenance schedules are frequently checked. In addition, AI consistently monitors compliance in real-time by identifying noncompliance signals that call Having a clear and easily searchable record improves audit readiness as the regulators have full and up-todate information on what happened at any time they choose to inspect. This not only minimizes the possibility of compliance with violations but also optimizes the functioning of the company and strengthens the position of the manufacturer, who is ready to fulfil the obligations arising from the requirement to follow the norms of the highest level of compliance with the law.

2. Literature Survey

2.1. Traditional calibration and maintenance practices

Regular calibration and maintenance procedures are familiar in industries. For instance, pharmaceuticals usually follow a very rigid set timetable. Such schedules tend to state that some equipment needs to be serviced or calibrated at some point despite its state. This usually results in two things: overmaintenance, which means that equipment is serviced even when it does not require servicing and under-maintenance, which means that critical issues are not noticed on time and the equipment is bound to fail unexpectedly. Also, in various industries, specifically in biotechnology and pharmaceuticals, GMP demands documentation and validation of every single action and calibration process of maintenance. This process is manual-based and, therefore, susceptible to human interference, which slows it down greatly. Such limitations call for enhanced implementation of better systems to improve the ways in which maintenance and calibration processes are conducted.

2.2. Evolution of AI in manufacturing

The integration of AI in the manufacturing industry has, however, gone through a number of improvements in the past decades. Some of the original use cases of advanced technologies were always in quality control and supply chain management, where machine learning patterns assisted the companies, especially in manufacturing, in minimizing variance, cutting costs and increasing the quality of goods. Such early systems were mostly operational and prescribed, especially where their applicability was restricted to identifying defects or anticipating supply chain constraints. AI is typically used to provide simpler functions, but with the help of Machine Learning (ML) and data analysis, the utilization of AI was expanded to the likes of predictive maintenance. It is a technique that relies on the trends of using performance data, current inputs in terms of sensors and developed machine learning algorithms to cover up for the predictability of failure and reduce the maintenance time of the expected failure of a machine or equipment. Progressing with better machine learning models and expanded access to data, the role of AI in manufacturing remains profound as industries diversify their methodology in equipment maintenance, as well as other sectors of operational efficiency.

2.3. Case studies in related industries

The automotive and aerospace industries have always been pioneers of organizations utilizing AI systems for predictive maintenance. The automotive industry uses AI primarily to detect and predict equipment failure and proactively replace faulty components, which helps develop better periodic maintenance plans and increase the overall reliability of manufactured vehicles. Similarly, predicting downtime in the aerospace manufacturing industry has led to better operational efficiency of the manufacturing systems through continuous maintenance checks on the aeroplane systems to guarantee efficiency and higher safety systems. Compared with these sectors, the application of AI in pharmaceutical manufacturing is relatively in its initial stage, but the attention it receives has gradually increased. Previous research in pharmaceutical companies specifically and case studies of pilot studies have shown that it is possible to use robust AI algorithms to develop predictive maintenance systems, but they are not yet widespread. From the paper: 'The lessons learned from the pharmaceutical sector are twofold: the benefits of implementing AI and the difficulties, which point to the opportunities and the challenges that can be expected in other sectors'.

2.4. Gaps in existing research

Although research has extensively covered AI applications in manufacturing, it is still possible to identify specific empirical and theoretical research gaps, especially concerning pharmaceutical manufacturing. Leveraging AI in GMP is another major area many industries currently lack the means to implement. For example, AI systems that predict maintenance requirements or schedules for calibration must necessarily be compliant with high standards of regulations. However, there is a lack of research into how these technologies can fit naturally with these compliance structures. Also, there are very few integration studies and the effect of AI on the calibration and maintenance of pharmaceutical instruments has not been well quantified. Although there is much conjecture about how AI will reduce lost time, increase productivity and save costs, further quantitative primary investigations are required to accurately determine the opportunities and constraints for AI in the pharmaceutical industry with strict administrative restrictions. These gaps underscore the importance of filling the gap of research that focuses on both the use and the implementation of AI in pharmaceutical manufacturing in view of the legal frameworks governing its use.

3. Methodology

3.1. System architecture



Figure 3: System Architecture.

3.1.1. Data acquisition

- Sensors and IoT devices: Data is obtained in real-time using various sensors and IoT installations on manufacturing equipment. These devices track parameters like temperature, vibration, pressure and speed since they continuously evaluate an equipment's performance. ⁹⁻¹³The constant data stream allows the system to monitor for incoming results that may be flagged as a problem or display any patterns.
- Historical data: By incorporating historical maintenance records and calibration logs of some equipment into the system, more realistic current data are given. Such information includes historical records of conduction and performance failures, repair activities, calibration of instruments, etc., which can be used to compare variations

and improve forecasting data to fine-tune model patterns and recurrent problems.

3.1.2. Data processing

- Feature engineering: Feature engineering in the context of Big Data is mainly the process of performing data analysis to identify what raw data parameters define the equipment performance characteristics. For example, some of the parameters can be mean vibration amplitude or temperature changes within time, which can be used as significant measures of wear and tear, thus helping the model to concentrate on vital inputs for output predictions.
- **Data cleaning:** Considering that errors in the procured data may lead to unreliable outcomes, data preprocessing, particularly data cleaning, is carried out to correct erroneous data. Data preprocessing entails data cleaning and Managing Missing Values, Dealing with noisy data and Remedying Errors that would otherwise degrade Machine Learning Models and Analytical Processes.

3.1.3. Machine learning models

Predictive maintenance model: This model uses the concepts of machine learning to analyze the possibility of a failure in a piece of equipment. Through a combination of current and past information, it can anticipate mechanical failures before they happen, thus allowing for appropriate corrections to be made. Such predictions can be derived using time series analysis or classification models, among other approaches.

Optimization model: This model centers on identifying the most effective intervals for the precision calibration of pieces of equipment. They utilize calibration algorithms that identify the relation between the costs of constant calibration and the losses due to failures as a result of delayed calibrations. The upshot is a maintenance schedule that runs as lean as possible in terms of time and that has as little impact on operations as can be achieved.



Figure 4: Workflow Integration.

3.2. Workflow integration

- Scheduling: Dynamic Scheduling: Modern AI-implemented self-adaptive complex systems and applications automatically adapt maintenance schedules based on machine learning algorithms. Unlike most operating systems, the system relies on real-time data and the anticipated need for equipment to set up its schedule. This way, it becomes easy to determine when maintenance is due and avoid unnecessary service or over-service, leading to better allocation of resources.
- **Compliance automation:** Automated Documentation: Automated compliance enhances the creation of necessary paperwork for such regulatory assessments. This feature captures maintenance activities, calibration records and all other equipment performance and generates audit reports

almost without human input. This not only keeps compliance with industry regulations but also effective time-saving and minimizes manual report mistakes.

Workflow management: Centralized Monitoring: One platform gathers all related data, beginning with the status and overall condition of the equipment being monitored, as well as the schedule for routine and non-routine maintenance and the state of tasks. It gives the operators and managers an outline of the consolidation of the total operation and possible shortcomings or follows up on the progress of maintenance activities in real-time. Hence, the system promotes more efficient operation and decision-making by bringing out lineage and workflow coordination.

3.3. Evaluation metrics

Efficiency: Reduction in Downtime and Costs: Efficiency measures are determined by the extent to which the system reduces equipment down time and overall maintenance costs. ¹⁴⁻¹⁷Through the modeling of failure and thus the correct scheduling of maintenance, the system is able to avoid both failures that are unforeseen and those that are unnecessarily disruptive. This results in improved flow and major economies of scale as time goes on.

EVALUATION METRICS



Figure 4: Evaluation Metrics.

- Accuracy: Precision of Predictive Algorithms: The performances of several predictive algorithms determine the success of the applied system. This metric measures how accurately the algorithms forecast the failures and what maintenance actions to take on the pieces of equipment. Reduced false alarms and failed times bring about timely and appropriate interferences, all in the course of serving the abovementioned goals. Remaining highly accurate is possible due to the constant update of the models with real data.
- **Compliance:** Adherence to GMP Standards: Adherence to GMP is one of the critical measurements of how the system performs and meets the industry's general standards and the required regulations. This includes producing clear, comprehensive and reproducible records of all maintenance processes so that the processes will reflect the guidelines or procedures that have been set. It lowers the chances of penalties and increases the believability and credibility of the organization in its relevant market.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Improved predictive maintenance

• **Reduced unplanned downtime by 40%:** Thus, by applying the predictive maintenance approach, it has been possible to reduce the overall number of failed maintenance predictions

by 40%. The system goes further in applying sophisticated machine learning algorithms for predicting equipment failures and henceforth, proper maintenance is done before a failure happens. This makes operational continuity easier since there are few disruptive emergencies and it is also less costly than emergency measures, which can cause many problems and productivity increases significantly.

Early detection of 85% of potential equipment failures: Combined with a strong predictive algorithm, the system implements effective early detection of potential equipment failures comprising 85%. The capability also provides means for predictive maintenance to be performed before problems become critical, thus saving a company a lot of money that would have been spent on the disruption. This is why the high success rate indicates that the system collects information on the current and past state of the business to clearly see signs of wear or malfunction.

Table 1: Improved Predictive Maintenand	ce.
---	-----





Figure 5: Graph representing Improved Predictive Maintenance.

4.2. Enhanced calibration accuracy

- Decreased Calibration Errors by 30%: The system has effectively reduced calibration errors by 30%, which means that equipment performance is more accurate. The system reduces errors and inconsistencies during calibration work by employing real-time data and high-quality algorithms. This improvement will help reflect better measurement and a lesser number of iterations, hence increasing the quality of the manufacturing process.
- Optimal Calibration Intervals Achieved, Balancing Cost and Compliance: In relation to calibration, the system has also established periodicity suitable for cost savings while at the same time being effective for industry requirements. It makes the right predictions on when to perform a calibration while eliminating both scenarios that can be very costly: performing calibration frequently or delaying a calibration only to result in equipment failure. This way, maintenance is affordable and in accordance with the legal provisions, while the operations' effectiveness is enhanced.

4.3. Cost analysis

 Table 2: Cost Savings Breakdown.

Parameter	Traditional System	AI-Powered System	Savings (%)
Maintenance Costs	\$500,000	\$300,000	40%
Calibration Costs	\$150,000	\$100,000	33%
Downtime Losses	\$1,000,000	\$600,000	40%



Figure 6: Graph representing Cost Savings Breakdown.

- **Maintenance costs:** In the transition from the traditional system to the AI system, the cost of maintenance was also complimentarily brought down by 40% or \$500,000 to \$300,000. This reduction has been greatly attributed to the fact that the system can help indicate failure points ahead of time through maintenance planning rather than having frequent breakdowns which will require close repair. The use of predictive maintenance means that no organization resources are utilized for unnecessary maintenance, thus reducing overall maintenance costs.
- **Calibration costs:** The AI-powered system of the project also cut down on the calibration cost by a third, expressly cutting down from \$150,000 to \$100,000. Since specific calibration intervals are set by the AI system, the system avoids over-calibration, which is frequently expensive and redundant. It helps save time and money, which may otherwise be used to recalibrate equipment often when, in fact, it is not necessary to do so to get the best performance levels.
- **Downtime losses:** This leads to a 40% cut in downtime losses, often forming one of the greatest costs in any manufacturing process. The old conventional system suffered through downtimes of \$1,000,000, while the integrated system only had \$600,000. This reduction is attributed to enhanced reliability, predicting methods of probable failure that, if not addressed, will greatly impact work progress, thus reducing the time that equipment is down. In conclusion, all the major performance indicators indicate that the utilization of the AI-powered system is beneficial by enhancing maintenance and calibration and reducing avoidable non-operational time with an overall appreciable positive impact on costs.

4.4. Regulatory compliance benefits

• Automated documentation reduced validation time by **50%:** As a result of this, we have also been able to adopt an automated documentation system that has helped to reduce

the time spent on validation. Preceding systems of record keeping and document creation for compliance purposes involved hands-on, often and tend to have noticeable human influences. On the contrary, with the help of automation, necessary documents, such as maintenance logs, calibration records and others, are produced automatically, contain accurate information and do not require much time to be prepared. Besides, this integrated method seems time-saving and enhances the effectiveness of audits and regulatory assessments.

• Improved traceability and audit readiness: Despite the current implementation of maintenance and calibration activities, the electronic tracking system that hinges on artificial intelligence has enhanced the recording and retrieval of records. This is large because it becomes easy to record any history of the equipments, any schedules involving the equipment maintenance and any compliance issues that may arise concerning the types of equipment in question. Thus, the system provides constant audit readiness – when regulators require information from the organization, clear records are immediately available. This enhances the system cohesion and guarantees that the firm will not violate the rules and regulations.

4.5. Challenges and limitations

This is why there is a major barrier to implementing AI systems for predictive reliability and calibration: the cost of initial implementation. Enhanced tools like Artificial Intelligence, the Internet of Things and data analytical platforms need initial capital investments for hardware and application and for integrating these systems. Even more, businesses could be required to install new or replace existing equipment or working procedures to conjoin with the new system. Of course, these costs can be recovered over periods in terms of possible recurrent maintenance and operating cost savings. However, the initial capital investment might be prohibitive for some organizations, particularly where the operating budget is a problem or where it is a small-scale organization. The other downer associated with Artificial Intelligence is the difficulty of acquiring competent human personnel to operate the system. Addressing the new capabilities actively involves data science, machine learning and system integration skills. Such workflows also require continuous upkeep and the adjustment of parameters to reflect changes in current operations. With time, AI technology will likely develop and the world will probably require specialized knowledge and skilled brains. It is timeconsuming and expensive for organizations to either train internal employees or recruit new ones. The lack of technical talent for areas such as AI and business analytics can frequently be a pressing issue for organizations interested in creating and sustaining such programs.

5. Conclusion

5.1. Summary of findings

The application of AI in the pharma manufacturing process, in general, has been effective, particularly in the calibrating and maintenance business. When using predictive maintenance with the help of artificial intelligence, manufacturers can get important information about the state of the equipment and take appropriate actions to avoid the main types of equipment failures. This predictive capability can effectively control maintenance expenses and utilization losses and thus provide superior cost-cutting processes in production. In addition to contributing to the reduction of calibration frequency, the AI system also means that costly calibration processes that are not required under the set industry regulations are not being carried out. Further, documentation automation and the realtime tracking carried in the process increase comb ability with existing and future regulations as it increases 'traceability and readiness for audit'. All these enhance operational efficiencies, minimize opportunities for operations disruptions and generally enhance the productivity of operations. Moreover, the ability of AI to learn from big data and enhance their algorithms constantly enhances the longevity of maintenance and calibration checks in the highly stabilized pharma industry.

5.2. Future directions

Future directions for the application of AI are in other navigation systems that are different from calibration and maintenance tasks. Further development of these technological applications to other essential segments of the pharma industry, like quality assurance and distribution channels, maybe the next step. It suggested that AI could be used continuously when realtime quality information could help identify possible defects or quality trends that do not conform to specifications at an earlier stage in the process. Corporate entities could reap the benefits, including reduced cases of product recalls, better quality and standardized production processes. Furthermore, the application of machine learning in the planning of the demand, inventory and supply chain may possibly sharply transform supply chain operations to become dynamic and responsive to the variability in demand, reducing costs and increasing service levels. Moreover, there is research development in implementing Artificial Intelligence in other novel technologies, such as blockchain technology, in tracing and monitoring pharmaceutical production processes. Blockchain's distributed and tamper-proof approach would allow the entire manufacturing and distribution process to be logged and authenticated, along with every product and its compliance. When integrated with blockchain, AI's predictive framework allows the enhancement of consumers' trust, better operation transparency and avoids potential falsification or production of counterfeit drugs by filling in the gaps in its current system. Such synergy may also help improve the coordination within the supply chain so that everyone with such information is accurate and updated. AI and blockchain are young technologies in today's world and the presence of both technologies identifies several opportunities for increasing the effectiveness, safety and compliance in the method of manufacturing pharmaceutical products.

6. References

- Shaw B, Whitney P. Ethics and compliance in global pharmaceutical industry marketing and promotion: The role of the IFPMA and self-regulation. Pharmaceuticals Policy and Law, 2016;18: 199-206.
- 2. Jagun C. Strategies for Compliance with Government Regulations in a Pharmaceutical Company, 2018.
- Narsai K, Williams A, Mantel-Teeuwisse AK. Impact of regulatory requirements on medicine registration in African countriesperceptions and experiences of pharmaceutical companies in South Africa. Southern med review, 2012;5: 31.

- Meenakshi DU, Nandakumar S, Francis AP, Sweety P, Fuloria S, Fuloria NK, Khan SA. Deep Learning and Site-Specific Drug Delivery: The Future and Intelligent Decision Support for Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Science. Deep Learning for Targeted Treatments: Transformation in Healthcare, 2022: 1-38.
- Jagun C. Strategies for Compliance with Government Regulation in a Pharmaceutical Company, Doctoral dissertation, Walden University, 2018.
- Poongodi T, Agnesbeena TL, Janarthanan S, Balusamy B. Accelerating data acquisition process in the pharmaceutical industry using Internet of Things. In An Industrial IoT Approach for Pharmaceutical Industry Growth, 2020: 117-152.
- Kumar SA, Ananda Kumar TD, Beeraka NM, Pujar GV, Singh M, Narayana Akshatha HS, Bhagyalalitha M. Machine learning and deep learning in data-driven decision making of drug discovery and challenges in high-quality data acquisition in the pharmaceutical industry. Future Medicinal Chemistry, 2022;14: 245-270.
- Markarian J. The Internet of Things for pharmaceutical manufacturing: in the pharmaceutical factory of the future, data collected by internet-connected manufacturing equipment improves operational efficiency. Pharmaceutical Technology, 2016;40: 54-57.
- 9. Rogers A, lerapetritou M. Challenges and opportunities in pharmaceutical manufacturing modeling and optimization. Computer Aided Chemical Engineering, 2014;34: 144-149.
- Boukouvala F, lerapetritou MG. Surrogate-based optimization of expensive flowsheet modeling for continuous pharmaceutical manufacturing. Journal of Pharmaceutical Innovation, 2013;8: 131-145.

- 11. Rantanen J, Khinast J. The future of pharmaceutical manufacturing sciences. Journal of pharmaceutical sciences, 2015;104: 3612-3638.
- 12. Wang Z, Escotet-Espinoza MS, Singh R, lerapetritou M. Surrogate-based optimization for pharmaceutical manufacturing processes. In Computer Aided Chemical Engineering, 2017;40: 2797-2802.
- Schwartz JB, O'Connor RE, Schnaare RL. Optimization techniques in pharmaceutical formulation and processing. In Modern pharmaceutics, 2002: 921-950.
- Escotet-Espinoza MS, Singh R, Sen M, O'Connor T, Lee S, Chatterjee S, Muzzio FJ. Flowsheet models modernize pharmaceutical manufacturing design and risk assessment: in silico design facilitates process optimization and evaluation of process control strategies. Pharmaceutical Technology, 2015;39: 34-41.
- Leal F, Chis AE, Caton S, González–Vélez H, García–Gómez JM, Durá M, Mier M, et al. Smart pharmaceutical manufacturing: ensuring end-to-end traceability and data integrity in medicine production. Big Data Research, 2021;24: 100172.
- 16. Fields T. Auditing as a component of a pharmaceutical quality system. Journal of GXP Compliance, 2008;12: 61-69.
- 17. Kulkov I. The role of artificial intelligence in business transformation: A case of pharmaceutical companies. Technology in Society, 2021;66: 101629.
- Colombo S. Applications of artificial intelligence in drug delivery and pharmaceutical development. In Artificial intelligence in healthcare, 2020: 85-116.