

Deep Learning; A Climate Smart Agriculture Tool for Groundnut Farmers

Muhammad Arshad Ullah^{1*} and Ali Hassan²

¹Crop Sciences Institute. National Agricultural Research Center, Islamabad, Pakistan

²PMAS- University of Arid Agriculture. Rawalpindi, Pakistan

Citation: Ullah MA, Hassan A. Deep Learning; A Climate Smart Agriculture Tool for Groundnut Farmers. *Int J Cur Res Sci Eng Tech* 2025; 8(1), 164-173. DOI: doi.org/10.30967/IJCRSET/Muhammad-Arshad-Ullah/161

Received: 25 February, 2025; **Accepted:** 05 March, 2025; **Published:** 10 March, 2025

***Corresponding author:** Muhammad Arshad Ullah, Health and Exercise Sciences, School of Sport, UK

Copyright: © 2025 Ullah MA, et al., This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

Groundnut is an oil seed crop, which is grown widely in the country and approximately 80% of groundnut is produced in the rainfed condition. Unlike weather factors even the prices of agriculture commodities are volatile in nature and the groundnut prices also behaves in an unusual pattern. A traditional farmer faces recurrent challenges. Deep learning methods and the accessibility of satellite imagery have, however, created new opportunities for more accurate and effective agricultural yield estimates. Large-scale yield estimation and understanding the impact of the variability of agricultural growing circumstances are critical due to the increased frequency of extreme climate occurrences. Crop growth condition models can be utilized with time series of spatially explicit information from satellite remote sensing (RS). For efficient agricultural management, guaranteeing food security and making wise decisions about resource allocation and market forecasting, accurate and timely estimation of crop yields is essential. Crop yield estimation has historically depended on time-consuming field surveys and statistical models. Machine Learning (ML) is an exciting application of Artificial Intelligence. It provides the ability to learn by experiences without any explicit. The proposed model is based on simple and cost-effective hardware that can be used by agriculture officers and farmers to get good productivity of crops. SCS model is trained by classifying dataset and tested subsequently. The accuracy and performance of an ML classifier depend only on the type and size of the dataset. Crop selection by real-time sensing data and soil analysis attributes is a big contribution in research of smart agriculture. A model was proposed basing on three modules: crop selection, crop management and crop maturity. It used parameters soil moisture, temperature, humidity, air pressure and air quality with weather conditions for better crop selection and health monitoring. A real-time sensory data was used for analysis on Thing Speak application with KNN algorithm. Some data mining techniques are applied for data preprocessing and comparing real-time data with trained data for crop prediction. It also considered crop prices for crop prediction, listed on National Commodity and Derivative Exchange. The KNN classifier is applied for data analysis has focused on IoT-oriented agricultural methods for weather monitoring. The prediction methods are investigated for commercial and scientific perspectives, cost of IoT components, Thing Speak application is used for data analysis. An Android application is also designed to intimate the farmers about required water level of fields. IoT framework best use of land to improve farming methods and increase crop production with profit maximization. A wireless sensor network was deployed in the field to sense data for different parameters and for proper monitoring of field. It proposed a crop prediction method for crop yield maximization and quality of crops by considering real-time data of metrological factors using ML algorithms: precipitation, temperature, humidity and solar light. Soft computing techniques can be employed to estimate the yield of various crops. As a result of rapid advancements in technology, crop models and decision tools have emerged as vital components of precision agriculture worldwide. These models and tools utilize linear regression techniques, non-linear simulations, expert systems, Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Interference Systems, Support Vector

Machines, Data Mining, Genetic Programming and Artificial Neural Network (ANN) to predict harvest outcomes particularly under the influence of climate change. These prediction methods play a significant role in improving the accuracy and reliability of yield estimation in agricultural systems. ANNs successfully address identification, classification and regression challenges in crop disease identification, harvest mechanization and product quality sorting. Multiple linear regression and discriminant function analysis were employed to construct a groundnut yield forecasting model, utilizing weather indices including maximum temperature, minimum temperature, total rainfall, morning relative humidity and evening relative humidity. Employing techniques such as stepwise multiple linear regression, principal component analysis was combined with stepwise multiple linear regression, ANN and penalized regressions like least absolute shrinkage and selection operator and elastic net. The models, particularly least absolute shrinkage and selection operator and elastic net, demonstrated remarkable accuracy, boasting a normalized root mean square error of under 10% across most test locations. Farmers using traditional methods in agriculture face problems such as low crop yield due to unpredicted weather, wrong amount of water and nutrients and wrong selection of crop. In previous research work, limited parameters were used that are insufficient for high yield of crops. Our research is aimed at maximizing the crop yield by selecting suitable crop. We tackle this issue by applying technology methodically and evidence-based analysis. For instance, adding required amount of nutrients gives improved yields. Our work is based on selection of the influential parameters. Deep learning techniques used to give improved accuracy with less computational cost as compared to previous research.

Keywords: RNNs; CNN; ANN; LSTM network. ML classifier; KNN classifier; ML algorithms and IoT

Introduction and Review

Deep learning methods and the accessibility of satellite imagery have, however, created new opportunities for more accurate and effective agricultural yield estimates. Large-scale yield estimation and understanding the impact of the variability of agricultural growing circumstances are critical¹⁻³ due to the increased frequency of extreme climate occurrences. Crop growth condition models can be utilized with time series of spatially explicit information from satellite remote sensing (RS)^{4,5}. For efficient agricultural management, guaranteeing food security and making wise decisions about resource allocation and market forecasting, accurate and timely estimation of crop yields is essential. Crop yield estimation has historically depended on time-consuming field surveys and statistical models⁶. Estimating agricultural yield prior to harvest is an important issue in agriculture, as the changes in crop yield from year-to-year influence international business, food supply and global market prices. Also, early prediction of crop yield provides useful information to policy planners. Appropriate prediction of crop productivity is required for efficient planning of land usage and economic policy. In recent times, forecasting of crop productivity at the within-field level has increased. The most influencing factor for crop productivity is weather conditions. If the weather-based prediction is made more precise, then farmers can be alerted well in advance so that the major loss can be mitigated and would be helpful for economic growth. The prediction will also aid the farmers to make decisions such as the choice of alternative crops or to discard a crop at an early stage in case of critical situations. Further, predicting crop yield can facilitate the farmers to have a better vision on cultivation of seasonal crop and its scheduling. Thus, it is necessary to simulate & predict the crop yield before cultivation for efficient crop management and expected outcome. As there exists a non-linear relationship between crop yield and the factors influencing crop, machine learning techniques might be efficient for yield predictions. Due to its capacity to automatically uncover patterns and representations from enormous datasets, deep learning, a branch of machine learning, has attracted considerable interest in a number of fields⁷. Deep learning algorithms can analyze enormous volumes

of spatial and temporal data when paired with satellite imagery to produce valuable insights about crop development and yield potential. The development of crops is influenced by a variety of climatic conditions, including temperature, precipitation and vegetation indices, which are all depicted in satellite imagery. The appropriateness of several neural network models, such as artificial neural networks (ANN) and deep neural networks (DNN) and machine learning (ML) models, such as random forests (RF), support vector machines (SVM), has been examined in a number of studies for yield estimation⁸. Further it lessens the need for labor-intensive field surveys, which decreases the time and expense of data collecting^{9,10}.

Prediction of agricultural phenomenon has proved to be helpful for farmers and decision makers across the world. It has further helped to understand prevailing market situation, production¹¹⁻¹⁴, price behavior^{15,16} and possible pests and disease attack if meteorological variables are changed suddenly. Moreover, Indian agriculture has massive land holding over wide variety of climate and potential to produce sufficient agricultural produce. As a result, many researchers have tried to predict and forecast many agricultural phenomenon¹⁷ like prediction of rainfall, prices¹⁸ of different agriculture produce across markets and area, production of different crops over the years using sophisticated statistical methodology. In most of the cases, authenticity of the data is a big question and the data obtained must be analyzed properly. On many instances, obtaining the auxiliary variables is difficult, therefore, time series models have become popular in the prediction process.

Groundnut is an oil seed crop, which is grown widely in the country and approximately 80% of groundnut is produced in the rainfed condition. Mainly, state includes Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Karnataka, Maharashtra and Tamil Nadu, were contributing nearly 90 per cent of total production of groundnut in the country. Among all the meteorological factors, rainfall plays a deciding role in the production as well as the incidence of pests and disease can cause significant damage to the production of groundnut in the country. Unlike weather factors even the prices of agriculture commodities are volatile in nature and the groundnut prices also behaves in an unusual

pattern. A time series model has wide variety of application includes risk management, tourism forecasting¹⁹ and in the medicine and pharmaceuticals sectors²⁰⁻²². In agriculture and allied sciences, time series models are used for forecasting milk production, milk yield of certain breeds of cows, yield of a crop, prices, production and productivity^{23,24}. These models can play a significant role in stock market decision-making²⁵; its application in financial aspects like credit and banking sectors is also crucial²⁶. The agricultural sector cannot be the exception in starting to adopt the best practices and tools that help the farmer in making decisions and encourage the agricultural investment and the growth of the economy in the sector. Having said the above and having taking into account that different public and private entities have been collecting different types of structured, semi-structured and unstructured data at different scales, such as, for example, meteorological stations, where they produce large volumes of hourly data, daily, monthly, among others, of various variables²⁷. Reason why, they allow the possibility of start a path of data analytics in a sector that has the potential to grow and be each more profitable if tools, methodologies and best practices are incorporated in a preventive measure to mitigate financial risks, increasing the profitability of the agriculture crops.

In the agricultural sector, production agriculture depends on many biological factors, climatic, economic and human that interact in complex ways. Agricultural producers and companies in the agricultural industries must make countless decisions every day that impact on performance and supply chain operation respectively²⁸. Therefore, decision making requires to be better grounded in various sources of information that are made progressively more difficult to manage outside the data paradigm. Remote sensing has great potential as a source of information for the prediction of agricultural production, both at the regional and the global scale, because it provides data at a level of consistency, repeatability, timeliness and scalability that is unmatched by any other data source. The costs of collecting the information and altering them into a reliable alternative for costly ground-based surveys are reduced markedly over the last decades due to the continuous improvements in remote sensing techniques²⁹. In the agricultural production estimation field, satellite and areal images have become essential data sources rapidly as a consequence. The importance of yield estimation gains and crop monitoring includes on the scientific and the political agenda as the rapid growth of global population and a (negative) impact of climate change on global crop production becomes probable. One of the principal determinants of crop yield is the percentage of solar irradiation intercepted by the plants' foliage. One way to assess the productivity of crops depends on the 'fraction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation' (fAPAR) and the efficiency with which that energy is converted into new biomass³⁰. The value of fAPAR is largely determined by the crop's foliage, which in turn can be related to the value of vegetation indices (VIs). VIs are numerical transformations of measured reflectance that are related to plant and canopy characteristics in a crop-specific and nonlinear way. The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) is improved by Deerin and it is used extensively.

According to [5], learning Supervised consists of predicting the values of a set of output data, from a set of input data. It is called supervised because according to the model predicts the outputs for test data, the error between what the algorithm

predicted is calculated and the real value. The objective is to minimize the error, adjusting the density function of probability relating inputs to outputs. Priya, et al. predicted the crop yield based on machine learning algorithms from existed data using Random Forest algorithm³¹. To establish the models, real data of Tamil Nadu were utilized and the testing of models was done with samples. For getting accurate results in prediction of crop yield, Random Forest Algorithm can utilize. Balakrishnan, et al. has been proposed ensemble model based on AdaSVM and AdaNaive for predicting the production of crops over a certain period of time³². By using AdaNaive and AdaSVM, its implementation is accomplished. AdaBoost increases efficiency of SVM and Naive Bayes algorithm. Siju and Patel were reviewed on the prediction of crop yield based on Data Mining focusing on Groundnut crop using the technique of Naïve Bayes. In horticulture³³, various applications of information mining have been illustrated and examined. The detection of crop yield prediction has been explored and actualization of Naïve Bayes technique has been done for different applications. The research works were demonstrated the expectation of Groundnut crop yield. By using different procedures of data mining, the model of exact groundnut crop yield expectation can be improved. Bhanumathi et al. were focused on breakdown various relevant properties like location and esteem from which the dirt alkalinity resolves in addition to the supplements level like Potassium (K), Phosphorous (P) and Nitrogen (N)³⁴. By using the outsider applications like APIs, the Location has been taken for soil type, climate and temperature, estimation of supplements in the dirt and precipitation measure in the area and creation of soil can resolve. The information properties will break down and train it based on various appropriate AI calculations such as Random Forest Algorithm (RFA) and Back propagation Algorithm to design a model. In prediction of crop yield, the framework with a model to be provided the precise and exact results. Based on the soil and barometrical metrics of the land, the end client with appropriate proposals is conveyed about the required compost apportion that helps to improve the establishment of harvest yield and increment in rancher income. By considering this philosophy, the future work is extendible to build the web applications and allow the client to use this effectively for comprehending the harvest yield.

GiriBabu and Anjan Babun were made a conclusion that the proposed method will provide solutions for the problems of fertilizer and water³⁵. The yield production will be more with the proposed technique. Agro algorithm is used in this paper. The accuracy in crop production didn't provide by this method properly. Ramesh and Vishnu Vardhan were proposed multiple linear regression technique that can implement on existing information and assists in assessment and verification of data³⁶. It is also providing less accurate results which is the drawback of proposed method. Djodiltachoumy has been focused on proposing and implementing the rule-based system which should forecast the production of crop yield using the previous collected data³⁷. The utilized algorithms include clustering method and K-means algorithm. The drawback of the system is only suitable for association rule and less data is considered. Chlingaryan, et al. have accomplished an audit which considered the AI techniques predominantly, estimation of yield and nitrogen accuracy on the board³⁸. The method of back proliferation significance and harvest yield expectation precision for various lists of vegetation have been explored by

the survey. Priya, et al. were predicted the crop yield based on the algorithm of machine learning³¹. By using Random Forest algorithm, the crop yield is forecasted from the existing data. Here, the real-time data of Tamil Nadu were utilized to establish the models and test them with samples. To get the crop yield prediction accurately, Random Forest Algorithm can be utilized.

Hunt, et al. have been investigated on the Precision Agriculture for determining yield for crop insurance based on an Aerial Platform³⁹. Precision Agriculture (PA) is utilized for identification of field variations and dealing with them based on various strategies as it is the application of remote sensors and geospatial methodologies. Owing to irrigation practices, crop stress and incidence of pest and disease, etc., the crop growth variability might be caused in an agricultural field. By using Ensemble Learning (EL), PA is implemented. Has proposed objective of agriculture not only lies in enhancing the cultivation but also to satisfy the end users with high quality goods. Due to a lack of scientific knowledge about farming and no rotation of crops, fertility of lands is affected adversely. Major factors contributing to the crop quality are soil nutrients, ground water level and type of fertilizer used. A traditional farmer faces recurrent challenges. Soil acidity may increase due to selection of wrong crops and inadequate soil nutrients^{40,41}. The unpredictable climate is the main factor for effecting crop's quality and yield. Soil fertility is an important factor for right crop selection and its health. It is aimed at overcoming few current farming issues that arise due to inefficient approaches. SCS considers metrological factors such as temperature, humidity, rainfall, CO₂ level in air, soil pH, EC and soil type. The metrological factors directly affect the plant growth and production⁴²⁻⁴⁴. Machine Learning (ML) is an exciting application of Artificial Intelligence. It provides the ability to learn by experiences without any explicit program⁴⁵. The proposed model is based on simple and cost-effective hardware that can be used by agriculture officers and farmers to get good productivity of crops. SCS model is trained by classifying dataset and tested subsequently. The accuracy and performance of an ML classifier depend only on the type and size of the dataset⁴⁶.

Crop selection by real-time sensing data and soil analysis attributes is a big contribution in research of smart agriculture. Bhojwani, et al. proposed a model based on three modules: crop selection, crop management and crop maturity⁴⁷. They used parameters soil moisture, temperature, humidity, air pressure and air quality with weather conditions for better crop selection and health monitoring. A real-time sensory data was used for analysis on Thing Speak application with KNN algorithm. Some data mining techniques are applied for data preprocessing and comparing real-time data with trained data for crop prediction. They also considered crop prices for crop prediction, listed on National Commodity and Derivative Exchange. The KNN classifier is applied for data analysis⁴⁸. Majumdar, et al. have focused on IoT-oriented agricultural methods for weather monitoring⁴⁹. The prediction methods are investigated for commercial and scientific perspectives, cost of IoT components, security threats and dependency of weather parameters on irrigation of crops⁴⁸. Imran suggested a smart irrigation and crop selection system based on the parameters like temperature, humidity, light intensity and moisture level of soils. Experiments were performed on five types of soils (loamy, black, laterite, alluvial and silt soil). Experimental results show that soil's

characteristics of different lands can be used for crop selection. Think Speak application is used for data analysis. An Android application is also designed to intimate the farmers about required water level of fields⁵⁰. Rekha, et al. proposed an IoT framework to improve farming methods for best use of land to increase crop production and profit maximization⁵¹. A wireless sensor network was deployed in the field to sense data for different parameters and for proper monitoring of field. Mulge, et al. proposed a crop prediction method for crop yield maximization and quality of crops by considering real-time data of metrological factors using ML algorithms: precipitation, temperature, humidity and solar light⁵².

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) is a self-pollinating allotetraploid legume crop that belongs to the Fabaceae family^{53,54}. Groundnut, also known as peanut, is recognized as the third most significant oilseed crop globally [3]. It holds great significance due to its high-quality edible oil and protein content. Moreover, the crop's byproducts, namely oilcake and haulms, play a crucial role as valuable animal feed, further enhancing its economic value in the agricultural industry⁵⁵. China is the largest groundnut producer in the world, followed by India and Nigeria. In the year 2022/2023, China produced 37% of the global groundnut output, while India accounted for 13% and Nigeria contributed 9%. The total global production for that year was 49,535 thousand metric tons (MT) (USDA, 2023). Groundnuts are typically cultivated in tropical, subtropical and warm temperate climatic zones⁵⁶. Therefore, Sri Lanka, located in a tropical region, provides a suitable environment for growing groundnuts. In Sri Lanka, two primary seasons exist, namely Yala and Maha. The Yala season typically extends from April to the end of August, while the Maha season spans from September to the end of March of the subsequent year, following the rainfall pattern⁵⁷. Groundnuts are primarily grown in the dry and intermediate zones of Sri Lanka, either as rain-fed crops in highland areas during the Maha season or as irrigated crops in paddy lands during the Yala season. In Sri Lanka, the main groundnut cultivation regions include Moneragala, Kurunegala, Ampara, Badulla, Puttalama and Ratnapura districts^{58,59}. In 2021, the country's groundnut production reached 36,947 metric tons, cultivated across an area spanning 18,537 hectares⁶⁰.

Soft computing techniques can be employed to estimate the yield of various crops. As a result of rapid advancements in technology, crop models and decision tools have emerged as vital components of precision agriculture worldwide. These models and tools utilize linear regression techniques, non-linear simulations, expert systems, Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Interference Systems, Support Vector Machines, Data Mining, Genetic Programming and Artificial Neural Network (ANN)s to predict harvest outcomes^{61,62}, particularly under the influence of climate change. These prediction methods play a significant role in improving the accuracy and reliability of yield estimation in agricultural systems⁶³. ANNs successfully address identification⁶⁴, classification and regression challenges in crop disease identification⁶⁵, harvest mechanization⁶⁶ and product quality sorting⁶⁷. Multiple linear regression and discriminant function analysis were employed to construct a groundnut yield forecasting model, utilizing weather indices including maximum temperature, minimum temperature, total rainfall, morning relative humidity and evening relative humidity⁶⁸. In this study⁶⁹, the objective was to predict sesame oilseed yield based on plant

characteristics. Several machine learning models, including radial basis, multiple linear and gaussian process, were employed. These models were complemented by the principal component analysis method to enable a comparative analysis with the original machine learning models. The aim was to assess the efficiency of the prediction process. In this study⁷⁰, minimum and maximum temperatures, rainfall and relative humidity were also utilized as factors in the development of wheat yield prediction models. Employing techniques such as stepwise multiple linear regression, principal component analysis was combined with stepwise multiple linear regression, ANN and penalized regressions like least absolute shrinkage and selection operator and elastic net. The models, particularly least absolute shrinkage and selection operator and elastic net, demonstrated remarkable accuracy, boasting a normalized root mean square error of under 10% across most test locations. In this study⁷¹, a wheat yield forecasting model was developed using an ANN that considers factors like productive soil moisture, soil fertility, weather and the presence of pests, diseases and weeds. The model utilized input parameters like the soil's moisture content, nitrogen, phosphorus, humus and acidity levels, as well as precipitation data, average air temperature and the presence of diseases and pests from 13 North Kazakhstan districts from 2008 to 2017, achieving commendable prediction results. The neural network's advantage lies in its ability to handle nonlinear data relationships and its enhanced performance with abundant training data, suggesting potential adaptability for forecasting other crops and regions. Neural networks, inspired by the nonlinear parallel structure of the human brain system, constitute a large-scale, parallel distributed information processing system. Originally derived from the biological central nervous system, ANNs are composed of interconnected nonlinear computational units. These networks emulate the intricate processing capabilities of the human brain and enable complex information-processing tasks through their parallel and distributed nature. ANN's flexibility makes it a powerful alternative to linear models. A single hidden layer ANN, with enough neurons, fits any continuous mathematical function within a given interval, given ample data and computational resources⁷².

When developing a neural network model, people normally employ three distinct training algorithms, namely Levenberg–Marquardt (LM), Bayesian Regularization (BR) and Scaled Conjugate Gradient (SCG). These training algorithms aid in the training process of the ANN model to achieve better results. The LM algorithm excels in various problem domains, surpassing simple gradient descent and other conjugate gradient methods in terms of performance and effectiveness⁷³. BR is a regularization method used in tandem with a gradient-based solver. It prevents over-fitting by limiting the magnitude of the synaptic weightings relative to the sum of the squared error or mean squared error (MSE) being minimized⁷⁴. The SCG algorithm, a supervised learning method for network-based approaches, finds widespread application in addressing large-scale problems⁷⁵. These algorithms are utilized to train the neural network model and enhance its performance through optimization techniques^{76,77}. Temperature and rainfall variations significantly impact various crop types in different regions across the globe. These climatic factors have a crucial role in influencing the growth, diverse responses of crops to temperature and rainfall variations highlight the importance of considering regional climatic conditions when planning and managing agricultural activities^{78,79}. The adverse impact of

increasing temperatures on crop yields has been acknowledged as a notable factor. Extensive research has been conducted using advanced modeling techniques to comprehensively study this phenomenon⁸⁰⁻⁸². Maximizing crop yield by keeping the cost as low as possible is one of the main goals of many precision agriculture systems. Early identification and prediction of crop traits such as crop disease, biomass and yield are beneficial as they allow the farmer to manage crop growth and harvesting well in advance⁸³. Therefore, the estimation of yield and related parameters such as biomass, disease, plant health, nitrogen status and soil conditions has been a frequent topic in the literature⁸⁴. Early detection and management of problems associated with farming can help increase yield and subsequent profit and better estimation of the yield offers farmers and processors numerous benefits in terms of harvest planning, storage and transportation scheduling, sale and price negotiation and other business decisions.

The traditional yield prediction models are based on ground samples, collected from the farm and extrapolating these samples throughout the field to estimate the yield⁸⁵. These methods are not only costly and labor-intensive but also poorly represent the spatial variability of yield over the field. An alternative approach is a non-destructive sampling method for yield estimation which uses a remote sensing platform to acquire field images and employs various vegetation indices (VIs) to establish a regression model for crop yield⁸⁶. Recent works on UAV-based remote sensing⁸⁷ showed the efficiency of crop traits such as yield estimation using multispectral images and ML methods⁸⁸. For instance, Guo, et al. utilized the multispectral images of maize with a Mini-MCA camera embedded in the drone to estimate the soil and plant analyzer development (SPAD) values⁸⁹. They also implemented various ML methods such as SVM and RF where SVM outperformed the RF with an R2 of 0.81 in estimating SPAD value. For crop yield estimation using UAVs, the VIs derived from the multispectral and RGB images were extensively utilized by various works⁹⁰. These studies established a strong correlation between crop yield and VIs. For instance, the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) is linearly related to wheat yield⁹¹. Similarly, a yield map for rice and wheat crops was developed using NDVI from multispectral images⁹². Since UAV has the flexibility in revisiting the field and can capture high-resolution imagery in comparison to satellite imagery, it has opened possible avenues for cheaper and more frequent image acquisition to support more accurate estimates of crop traits using predictive approaches such as ML methods⁹³. For instance, Zhou, et al. implemented a convolutional neural network (CNN) for soybean yield estimation with high-resolution UAV imagery⁹⁴. They used crop features such as plant height, canopy colour and canopy texture to train the neural network. Their model achieved an R2 of 0.78 with a root mean square of 391.0 kg/ha. Similarly, Guo, et al. implemented four ML models, a back propagation neural network (BPNN), SVM, RF and extreme learning machine (ELM), for maize yield predictions using VIs⁹⁵. They showed that SVM with a modified red-blue vegetation index (MRBVI) was effective in monitoring maize yield. Besides the image feature, Guo, et al. employed the combination of phenology, climate and geography data to estimate rice yield with statistical and ML methods⁹⁵. However, their proposal of building the yield prediction model with an individual ML method missed the cooperative nature of the ensemble approach where if one method fails to capture \

the correct prediction, another ML method can pick the right prediction. Considering such limitations, this study first establishes the relationship between UAV images and peanut yield at the individual growth stage. Based on such a relationship and existing ML methods, an accurate and cooperative ensemble method for yield prediction is proposed and validated using peanuts as a study crop. Peanut is an oilseed crop grown in many countries over the world. In Australia, the peanut is mainly grown in Queensland, in the northeast of Australia. Its growth cycles include various stages: planting, emergence, emergence to first flower (FF), flowering (F), pegging, pod-filling and harvest maturity (HM). It takes around three to five months from planting to maturity⁹⁶. Deep learning models have recently been used for crop yield prediction. You, et al. used deep learning techniques such as convolutional neural networks and recurrent neural networks to predict soybean yield in the United States based on a sequence of remotely sensed images taken before the harvest⁹⁷. Their model outperformed traditional remote-sensing based methods by 15% in terms of Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE). Russello used convolutional neural networks for crop yield prediction based on satellite images⁹⁸. Their model used 3-dimensional convolution to include spatiotemporal features and outperformed other machine learning methods. Deep learning methods have been applied for the crop yield prediction. Khaki and Wang designed a deep neural network model to predict corn yield across 2,247 locations between 2008 and 2016¹⁰. Their model was found to outperform other methods such as Lasso, shallow neural networks and regression tree. You, et al. applied CNNs and RNNs to predict soybean yield based on a sequence of remotely sensed images⁹⁷. Kim, et al. developed a deep neural network model for crop yield prediction using optimized input variables from satellite products and meteorological datasets between 2006 and 2015⁷. Wang, et al. designed a deep learning framework to predict soybean crop yields in Argentina and they also achieved satisfactory results with a transfer learning approach to predict Brazil soybean harvests with a smaller amount of data⁹⁹. Yang, et al. investigated the ability of CNN to estimate rice grain yield using remotely sensed images and found that CNN model provided robust yield forecast throughout the ripening stage¹⁰⁰. Khaki and Khalilzadeh used deep CNNs to predict corn yield loss across 1,560 locations in the United States and Canada¹⁰¹. Accurate and efficient methods to predict the crop yield helps economists and officials in the planning process of agricultural practices according to Maya Gopal and Bhargav¹⁰². Kouadio, et al. analyzed that the availability of varied data has urged researchers to use data driven models to understand and produce accurate results¹⁰³. The prediction of the yield of any crop is not only dependent on environmental factors, such as the area, irrigation, rainfall, etc., but also the prediction algorithm, in order to expect precise results according to Sirsat, et al¹⁰⁴.

However, when such models are to be applied on a large-scale region (like districts and states), the availability and collection of data for creating models is highly problematic according to Kaul, et al.¹⁰⁵. Basso, et al. (2013) analyzed that the precision of results in the prediction of the yield for any crop can be achieved by providing appropriate inputs and selecting proper models without changing the traditional agricultural practices and their systems¹⁰⁶. Basically, the most popular annual productivity seed or grain is groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L). The groundnut is a well-known source of nutritious food that contains healthy

ingredients such as fat, vitamins, dietary fibers, minerals and protein¹⁰⁷. The main seed oil crop in India is groundnut, which has taken the productivity area of 208,149 ha and it yields 0.45 million tons of groundnut. Hence, the controlling procedure of pests and diseases can enhance the productivity of groundnut¹⁰⁸. The report of India has shown; there may 40–60% of losses yield in groundnut production. Sometimes the ranges may increase to 93% based on the different diseases in groundnuts like collar rot, stem rot, leaf spot, etc.¹⁰⁹. The enlarger number of diseases can affect the groundnut. Moreover, the oil obtained from the groundnut is used for cooking and soap production¹¹⁰. After the oil extraction, the remaining products are used in poultry feed. However, the raw, boiled and roasted groundnut seeds are used for eating. Mostly, the groundnut plants are caused by fungal, viral, rust and leaf spot diseases¹¹¹. These made the losses in economic and productivity. The fungal disease, such as leaf blight, leaf scorch, pepper spot, *Alternaria*, *Phomopsis*, *Phoma*, *Phyllosticta*, *Drech-slera* leaf blight, anthracnose and *Cylindrocladium* leaf spot, affects the groundnut plant. Nowadays, the process of identifying groundnut disease is a major challenging problem¹¹². The various image processing, artificial intelligence¹¹³⁻¹¹⁶ and graphical processing units are widely used to detect the plant diseases¹¹⁷. Particularly, many of the researchers suggested various research techniques and pesticides to control and manage groundnut diseases. Few of the existing research mechanisms are formulated as follows. Bakker, et al. proposed a method of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) for controlling pests¹¹⁸. It is a latent defense method and set in motion systematically based on the pathogen's infection exposure of plants. Introduced the K-nearest neighbor (K-NN) method for the detection of plant diseases. The method is used to extract the features and it classifies the data based on their measures. But it does not predict the diseases correctly. Yang, et al. proposed a powerful and flexible method of machine learning mechanism to make the amalgamation of well suitable system knowledge¹¹⁹. The main advantage is few of the learning algorithms are used in agricultural fields. The process of classification models with high spectral agricultural image decisions making is used in the regression of logistic and decision trees. Therefore, a rural area in America initiates the application-oriented smart-phone to analyze the groundnut and plant diseases¹²⁰. The process of automatic feature extraction is a key point of deep learning. The required problem features are elected automatically and there is no need for any fixed or handcrafted features. The explicit features are selected and it reduces the specialist works (i.e. traditional pattern recognition). Therefore, the different kinds of supervised, semi-supervised and unsupervised problems were recovered. The hidden layers consist of many layers, but a minimum amount of three hidden layers is applicable. The nonlinear feature transformation of one phase is presented in deep learning¹²¹. Significantly, the single sets of features are trained by using the group of neurons in every hidden layer and it depends upon the previous layer output. The amount of hidden layer is raised as well as the data generalization and complexity also get increased. The trainable classifier with a hidden layer carried out low-level, mid-level and high levels of the feature extraction process¹²¹.

Conclusion

Farmers using traditional methods in agriculture face problems such as low crop yield due to unpredicted weather,

wrong amount of water and nutrients and wrong selection of crop. In previous research work, limited parameters were used that are insufficient for high yield of crops. Our research is aimed at maximizing the crop yield by selecting suitable crop. We tackle this issue by applying technology methodically and evidence-based analysis. For instance, adding required amount of nutrients gives improved yields. Our work is based on selection of the influential parameters. The ML algorithms used in our proposed research give improved accuracy with less computational cost as compared to previous research. To facilitate farmers, an Android app is developed. The cost of our system is very low and all the used sensors are easily available and easy to use. In future, more parameters and crops can be added to this system. The more accurate and efficient ML algorithms like CNN and LSTM can also be studied. SCS model can be integrated with security to protect crop data. For crop monitoring, drone cameras can also be used. Fertilizer recommendation system can also be developed on the basis of real-time sensory data of soil nutrients.

References

- Jiang H, Hu H, Zhong R, et al. A deep learning approach to conflating heterogeneous geospatial data for corn yield estimation: A case study of the US Corn Belt at the county level. *Glob Change Biol* 2020; 26:1754-1766.
- Gao F anderson M, Daughtry C and Johnson D. Assessing the Variability of Corn and Soybean Yields in Central Iowa Using High Spatiotemporal Resolution Mult Satellite Imagery. *Remote Sensing* 2018;10(9).
- Kogan F, Kussul N, Adamenko T, et al. Winter wheat yield forecasting in Ukraine based on Earth observation, meteorological data and biophysical models. *Int J Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation* 2013;23:192-203.
- Liu J, Shang J, Qian B, et al. Crop Yield Estimation Using Time-Series MODIS Data and the Effects of Crop land Masks in Ontario, Canada. *Remote Sensing* 2019;11(20):2419.
- Novelli F, Spiegel H, Sandén T and Vuolo F. Assimilation of Sentinel-2 Leaf Area Index Data into a Physically-Based Crop Growth Model for Yield Estimation. *Agronomy* 2019;9(5):255.
- Van der Velde M, et al. 2018. In-season performance of European Union wheat forecasts during extreme impacts. *Sci. Rep* 2018;8(1):1-10.
- Kim N, Ha KJ, Park NW, Cho J, Hong S and Lee YW. A Comparison between Major Artificial Intelligence Models for Crop Yield Prediction: Case Study of the Midwestern United States, 2006-2015. *ISPRS Int J Geo-Information* 2019;8(5):240.
- Richetti J, Judge J, Boote KJ, et al. Using phenology-based enhanced vegetation index and machine learning for soybean yield estimation in Paraná State, Brazil. *JARS, JARSC* 2018;12(2):026029.
- Johnson DM. An assessment of pre- and within-season remotely sensed variables for forecasting corn and soybean yields in the United States. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 2014;141:116-128.
- Khaki S and Wang L. Crop Yield Prediction Using Deep Neural Networks. *Front. Plant Sci* 2019;10:2019.
- Hemavathi M and Prabakaran K. ARIMA Model for Forecasting of Area, Production and Productivity of Rice and Its Growth Status in Thanjavur District of Tamil Nadu, India. *Int J Curr Microbial App Sci* 2018;7(2):149-156.
- Pushpa MS. Trend and forecasting analysis of area, production and productivity of total pulses in India. *Indian J Economics and Development* 2017;5:12.
- Vijay SP and Abhishek B. Predictive Efficiency of ARIMA and ANN Models: A Case Analysis of Nifty Fifty in Indian Stock Market. *Int J Applied Eng Research* 2019;14(2):232-244.
- Estrada F, Gay GC and Conde C. A methodology for the risk assessment of climate variability and change under uncertainty. A case study: Coffee production in Veracruz, Mexico. *Climatic Change* 2012.
- Darekar A and Reddy Amarender A. Forecasting of Common Paddy Prices in India. *Journal of Rice Research* 2017;10:71-75.
- He Angela WW, Kwok Jerry TK and Wan Alan TK. An empirical model of daily highs and lows of West Texas Intermediate crude oil prices. *Energy Economics, Elsevier* 2010;32(6):1499-1506.
- Balanagammal D, Ranganathan CR and Sundaresan K. Forecasting of agricultural scenario in Tamilnadu - A time series analysis. *J Indian Society of Agricultural Statistics* 2000;53(3):273-286.
- Margaretha O and Herena P. ARIMA Model for Forecasting the Price of Medium Quality Rice to Anticipate Price Fluctuations. *Procedia Computer Science* 2018;135:707-711.
- Biljana P. Predicting tourism demand by A.R.I.M.A. models. *Economic Research. Ekonomika Istraživanja* 2017;30(1):939-950.
- Juang WC, Huang SJ and Huang FD. Application of time series analysis in modelling and forecasting emergency department visits in a medical Centre in Southern Taiwan. *BMJ Open* 2017;7:018628.
- Liu Qiao, Li Zhongqi, Ji Ye, Martinez Leonardo, Ul-Haq Zia, Javaid Arshad, Lu Wei and Wang and Jianming. Forecasting the seasonality and trend of pulmonary tuberculosis in Jiangsu Province of China using advanced statistical time-series analyses. *Infection and Drug Resistance* 2019;12:2311-2322.
- Paul Jiban HM and Rahman M. Selection of Best ARIMA Model for Forecasting Average Daily Share Price Index of Pharmaceutical Companies in Bangladesh: A Case Study on Square Pharmaceutical Ltd. *Global J Management and Business Research* 2013;13:14-25.
- Tripathi R, Nayak AK, Raja R, Shahid MK, Anjani M, Sangita P, Bipin LB and Gautam P. Forecasting Rice Productivity and Production of Odisha, India, Using Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Models. *Advances in Agriculture* 2014.
- Sharma P. Forecasting Maize Production in India using ARIMA Model 2018.
- Qiu M and Song Y. Predicting the Direction of Stock Market Index Movement Using an Optimized Artificial Neural Network Model. *PLoS ONE* 2016;11(5):0155133
- Rundo F, Trenta F, Stallo A and Battiato S. Machine Learning for Quantitative Finance Applications: A Survey. *Applied Sciences* 2019;9:1-20.
- Roche D. Stomatal conductance is essential for higher yield potential of C₃ crops. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences* 2015;34(4):429-453.
- Gornott C and Frank W. Statistical regression models for assessing climate impacts on crop yields: A validation study for winter wheat and silage maize in Germany. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 2016;217:89-100.
- Sujatha R and Isakki P. A study on crop yield forecasting using classification techniques." *International Conference on Computing Technologies and Intelligent Data Engineering (ICCTIDE'16)* 2016;1-4.
- Viña A and Anatoly AG. New developments in the remote estimation of the fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation in crops. *Geophysical Research Letters* 2005;32:17.
- Priya P, Muthaiah U and Balamurugan M. Predicting yield of the

- crop using machine learning algorithm. *Int J Eng Sci Research Techno* 2018;7(1):1-7.
32. Balakrishnan N and Govindarajan and Muthukumarasamy. Crop production-ensemble machine learning model for prediction. *In J Computer Science and Software Engineering* 2016;5:148.
 33. Siju HL and Patel PJ. Review on Crop Yield Prediction using Data Mining Focusing on Groundnut Crop and Naive Bayes Technique 2018.
 34. Bhanumathi S, Vineeth M and Rohit N. Crop Yield Prediction and Efficient use of Fertilizers. *Int Conf on Communication and Signal Processing (ICCS)* 2019:0769-0773.
 35. GiriBabu T and Anjan Babun Dr G. Big Data Analytics to Produce Big Results in the Agricultural Sector, March 2016.
 36. Ramesh D and Vishnu Vardhan B. Analysis of Crop Yield Prediction Using Data Mining Techniques, *Int J Research in Engineering and Technology* 2015.
 37. Djodiltachoumy S. A Model for Prediction of Crop Yield, *Int J Computational Intelligence and Informatics* 2017.
 38. Chlingaryan A, Sukkarieh S and Whelan B. Machine learning approaches for crop yield prediction and nitrogen status estimation in precision agriculture: A review. *Computers and electronics in agriculture* 2018;151:61-69.
 39. Hunt Jr Raymond E and Craig Daughtry ST. What good are unmanned aircraft systems for agricultural remote sensing and precision agriculture? *Int J remote sensing* 2018;39(15-16):5345-5376.
 40. Kanchan P and Shardoor N. Krashignyan: a farmer support system, *Asian Journal for Convergence in Technology (AJCT)* 2021;7(3):1-7,
 41. Javed F, Afzal MK, Sharif M and Kim BS. Internet of Things (IoT) operating systems support, networking technologies, applications and challenges: a comparative review. *IEEE Communication Surveys and Tutorials* 2018;20(3):2062-2100.
 42. Issad HA, Aoudjit R and Rodrigues JJ. A comprehensive review of data mining techniques in smart agriculture. *Engineering in Agriculture, Environment and Food* 2019;12(4):511-525.
 43. Flak J. Technologies for sustainable biomass supply-overview of market offering. *Agronomy* 2020;10:6.
 44. Kavita PM. Satellite-based crop yield prediction using machine learning algorithm. *Asian Conf Innovation in Technology (ASIANCON)* 2021:1466-1470.
 45. Jain N, Kumar A, Garud S, Pradhan V and Kulkarni P. Crop selection method based on various environmental factors using machine learning. *Int Research J Eng and Techno (IRJET)* 2017;4(2):1530-1533.
 46. Wankhede DS. Analysis and prediction of soil nutrients pH, N, P, K for crop using machine learning classifier: a review. *Int Conf Mobile Computing and Sustainable Informatics* 2020;111-121.
 47. Bhojwani Y, Singh R, Reddy R and Perumal B. Crop selection and IoT based monitoring system for precision agriculture. *Int Research J Eng and Techno (IRJET)* 2017;4:2.
 48. Raj JS. *International Conference on Mobile Computing and Sustainable Informatics, EAI/Springer Innovations in Communication and Computing, Springer Cham* 2020.
 49. Majumdar P, Mitra S and Bhattacharya D. IoT for promoting agriculture 4.0: a review from the perspective of weather monitoring, yield prediction, security of WSN protocols and hardware cost analysis. *Journal of Biosystems Engineering* 2021;46(4):440-461.
 50. Imran S. Effective crop selection and conservative irrigation using IoT. *Int J Science and Research (IJSR)* 2016.
 51. Rekha P, Rangan VP, Ramesh MV and Nibi KV. High yield groundnut agronomy: an IoT based precision farming framework. 2017. *IEEE Global Humanitarian Technology Conf (GHTC) 2017;2017:1-5.*
 52. Mulge M, Sharnappa M, Sultanpure A, Sajjan D and Kamani M. An invitation to subscribe: *Int J analytical and experimental modal analysis* 2020;10(1):1112-1117.
 53. Janila P, Nigam SN, Pandey MK, Nagesh P and Varshney RK. Groundnut improvement: Use of genetic and genomic tools. *Front. Plant Sci* 2013;4:23.
 54. Belayneh DB and Chondie YG. Participatory variety selection of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) in Taricha Zuriya district of Dawuro Zone, southern Ethiopia. *Heliyon* 2022;8:09011.
 55. Alagirisamy M. Groundnut. *Breed. Oilseed Crops Sustain. Prod* 2016:89-134.
 56. Ezihe JAC, Agbugba IK and Idang C. Effect of climatic change and variability on groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) production in Nigeria. *Bulg. J. Agric. Sci* 2017;23:906-914.
 57. Janani HK, Abeysiriwardana HD, Rathnayake U and Sarukkalige R. Water Footprint Assessment for Irrigated Paddy Cultivation in Walawe Irrigation Scheme, Sri Lanka. *Hydrology* 2022;9:210.
 58. Thilini S, Pradheeban L and Nishanthan K. Effect of Different Time of Earthing up on Growth and Yield Performances of Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) Varieties 2023.
 59. Jeewani DC, Amarasinghe YPJ, Wijesinghe G and Kumara RWP. Screening exotic groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) lines for introducing as a small-seeded variety (ANKGN4/Tiny) in Sri Lanka. *Trop Agric Res Ext* 2021;24:330.
 60. Department of Census and Statistics Ministry of Finance.
 61. Adisa OM, Botai JO, Adeola AM, Hassen A, Botai CM, Darkey D and Tesfamariam E. Application of Artificial Neural Network for Predicting Maize Production in South Africa. *Sustainability* 2019;11:1145.
 62. Gopal PM and Bhargavi R. A novel approach for efficient crop yield prediction. *Comput. Electron. Agric* 2019;165:104968.
 63. Amaratunga V, Wickramasinghe L, Perera A, Jayasinghe J and Rathnayake U. Artificial Neural Network to Estimate the Paddy Yield Prediction Using Climatic Data. *Math. Probl. Eng* 2020;2020:8627824.
 64. Kho SJ, Manickam S, Malek S, Mosleh M and Dhillon SK. Automated plant identification using artificial neural network and support vector machine. *Front. Life Sci* 2017;10:98-107.
 65. Ranjan M, Rajiv WM, Joshi N and Ingole A. Detection and classification of leaf disease using artificial neural network. *Int. J. Tech. Res. Appl* 2015;3:331-333.
 66. Bargoti S and Underwood JP. Image segmentation for fruit detection and yield estimation in apple orchards. *J. Field Robot* 2017;34:1039-1060.
 67. Patil PU, Lande SB, Nagalkar VJ, Nikam SB and Wakchaure G. Grading and sorting technique of dragon fruits using machine learning algorithms. *J. Agric. Food Res* 2021;4:100118.
 68. Bhimani PC, Gundaniya HV and Darji VB. Anand Agricultural University; Forecasting of Groundnut Yield Using Meteorological Variables. *Gujarat J. Ext. Educ* 2022;34:139-142.
 69. Biswas MR, Alzubaidi MS, Shah U, Abd-Alrazaq AA and Shah Z. A Scoping Review to Find out Worldwide COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy and Its Underlying Determinants. *Vaccines* 2022;9:1243.
 70. Aravind KS, Vashisth A, Krishanan P and Das B. Wheat yield prediction based on weather parameters using multiple linear, neural network and penalized regression models. *J Agrometeorol* 2022;24:18-25.

71. Aubakirova G, Ivel V, Gerassimova Y, Moldakhmetov S and Petrov P. Application of artificial neural network for wheat yield forecasting. *Eastern-European J Enterpr Technol* 2022;3:31-39.
72. Morales A and Villalobos FJ. Using machine learning for crop yield prediction in the past or the future. *Front. Plant Sci* 2023;14:1128388.
73. Sapna S. Back propagation Learning Algorithm Based on Levenberg Marquardt Algorithm. *Comput Sci Inf Technol* 2012;2:393-398.
74. Unke OT, Chmiela S, Saucedo HE, Gastegger M, Poltavsky I, Schütt KT, Tkatchenko A and Müller KR. Machine Learning Force Fields. *Chem. Rev* 2021;121:10142-10186.
75. Cetişli B and Barkana A. Speeding up the scaled conjugate gradient algorithm and its application in neuro-fuzzy classifier training. *Soft Comput* 2009;14:365-378.
76. Aghelpour P, Bagheri-Khalili Z, Varshavian V and Mohammadi B. Evaluating Three Supervised Machine Learning Algorithms (LM, BR and SCG) for Daily Pan Evaporation Estimation in a Semi-Arid Region. *Water* 2022;14:3435.
77. Heng SY, Ridwan WM, Kumar P, Ahmed AN, Fai CM, Birima AH and El-Shafie A. Artificial neural network model with different back propagation algorithms and meteorological data for solar radiation prediction. *Sci Rep* 2022;12:10457.
78. Rahman A, Kang S, Nagabhatla N, Macnee R. Impacts of temperature and rainfall variation on rice productivity in major ecosystems of Bangladesh. *Agric. Food Secur* 2017;6:10.
79. Chemura A, Schauburger B and Gornott C. Impacts of climate change on agro-climatic suitability of major food crops in Ghana. *PLoS ONE* 2020;15:0229881
80. Semenov MA and Shewry PR. Modelling predicts that heat stress, not drought, will increase vulnerability of wheat in Europe *Sci Rep* 2011;1:66.
81. Zhao C, Liu B, Piao S, et al. Temperature increase reduces global yields of major crops in four independent estimates. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2017;114:9326-9331.
82. Lopes MS. Will temperature and rainfall changes prevent yield progress in Europe? *Food Energy Secur* 2022;11:e372.
83. Nigam R, Tripathy R, Dutta S, et al. Crop type discrimination and health assessment using hyperspectral imaging. *Curr Sci* 2019;116:1108-1123.
84. ten Harkel J, Bartholomeus H and Kooistra L. Biomass and crop height estimation of different crops using UAV-based LiDAR. *Remote Sens* 2020;12:17.
85. Reynolds CA, Yitayew M, Slack DC, Hutchinson CF, Huete A and Petersen MS. Estimating crop yields and production by integrating the FAO Crop Specific Water Balance model with real-time satellite data and ground-based ancillary data. *Int J Remote Sens* 2000;21:3487-3508.
86. Panda SS, Ames DP and Panigrahi S. Application of vegetation indices for agricultural crop yield prediction using neural network techniques. *Remote Sens* 2010;2:673-696.
87. Fu Z, Jiang J, Gao Y, et al. Wheat growth monitoring and yield estimation based on multi-rotor unmanned aerial vehicle. *Remote Sens* 2020;12:508.
88. Sun Z, Wang X, Wang Z, Yang L, Xie Y and Huang Y. UAVs as remote sensing platforms in plant ecology: review of applications and challenges, *J. Plant Ecol* 2021;14:1003-1023.
89. Guo Y, Chen S, Li X, et al. Machine learning-based approaches for predicting SPAD values of maize using multi-spectral images. *Remote Sens* 2022;14:1337.
90. Xue J and Su B. Significant remote sensing vegetation indices: A review of developments and applications, *J Sens* 2017;2017:1-17.
91. Wan L, Cen H, Zhu J, et al. Grain yield prediction of rice using multi-temporal UAV-based RGB and multispectral images and model transfer-a case study of small farmlands in the South of China. *Agric. For. Meteorol* 2020;291:108096.
92. Guan S, Fukami K, Matsunaka H, et al. Assessing correlation of high-resolution NDVI with fertilizer application level and yield of rice and wheat crops using small UAVs. *Remote Sens* 2019;11:112.
93. Guo Y, Wang H, Wu Z, et al. Modified red blue vegetation index for chlorophyll estimation and yield prediction of maize from visible images captured by UAV. *Sensors* 2020;20:5055.
94. Zhou J, Zhou J, Ye H, Ali ML, Chen P and Nguyen HT. Yield estimation of soybean breeding lines under drought stress using unmanned aerial vehicle-based imagery and convolutional neural network. *Biosyst Eng* 2021;204:90-103.
95. Guo Y, Fu Y, Hao F, et al. Integrated phenology and climate in rice yields prediction using machine learning methods. *Ecol. Indic* 2021;120:106935.
96. Peanut company of Australia. How peanuts are grown 2023.
97. You J, Li X, Low M, Lobell D and Ermon S. Deep Gaussian process for crop yield prediction based on remote sensing data. Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, San Francisco, California, USA 2017:4559-4566.
98. Russello H. Convolutional neural networks for crop yield prediction using satellite images. IBM Center for Advanced Studies 2018.
99. Wang AX, Tran C, Desai N, Lobell D and Ermon S. Deep transfer learning for crop yield prediction with remote sensing data. Proceedings of the 1st ACM SIGCAS Conference on Computing and Sustainable Societies (San Jose CA, USA: ACM) 2018;50.
100. Yang Q, Shi L, Han J, Zha Y and Zhu P. Deep convolutional neural networks for rice grain yield estimation at the ripening stage using uav-based remotely sensed images. *Field Crops Res* 2019;235:142-153.
101. Khaki S and Khalilzadeh Z. Classification of crop tolerance to heat and drought: A deep convolutional neural networks approach 2019.
102. Maya Gopal PS and Bhargavi R. Performance evaluation of best feature subsets for crop yield prediction using machine learning algorithms. *Applied Artificial Intelligence* 2019;33:621-642.
103. Kouadio L, Deo RC, Byrareddy V, Adamowski JF, Maya Gopal PS and Bhargavi R. A novel approach for efficient crop yield prediction. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 2019;165:1-9.
104. Sirsat MS, Mendes-Moreira J, Ferreira C and Cunha M. Machine learning predictive model of grapevine yield based on agro climatic patterns. *Engineering in Agriculture, Environment and Food* 2019;12:443-450.
105. Kaul M, Hill RL and Walthall C. Artificial neural networks for corn and soybean yield prediction. *Agricultural Systems* 2005;85:1-18.
106. Basso B, Cammarano D and Carfagna E. Review of crop yield forecasting methods and early warning systems. In: Proceedings of the First Meeting of the Scientific Advisory Committee of the Global Strategy to Improve Agricultural and Rural Statistics (FAO Headquarters) 2013.
107. Kylberg G. Kylberg texture dataset v.1.0 center for image analysis. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences and Uppsala University, Uppsala 2011.
108. Pimentel D and Burgess M. Environmental and economic costs of the applications of pesticides primarily in the United States. In: *Integrated pest management* 214:47-71.

109. Bharate AA and Shirdhonkar MS. A review on plant disease detection using image processing. In: El Houbay EM (2018) A survey on applying machine learning techniques for management of diseases. *J Appl Biomed* 2017;16(3):165-174.
110. Tuzun S. The relationship between pathogen-induced systemic resistance (ISR) and multigenic (horizontal) resistance in plants. *Eur J Plant Pathol* 2001;107(1):85-93.
111. Woodward JE, Brenneman TB, Kemerait RC Jr, Culbreath AK and Clark JR. First report of Sclerotinia blight caused by Sclerotinia sclerotium on peanut in Georgia. *Plant Dis* 2006;90(1):111
112. Ojala T, Maenpaa T, Pietikainen M, Viertola J, Kyllonen J and Huovinen S. Outex-new framework for empirical evaluation of texture analysis algorithm. *Object recognition supported by user interaction for service robots* 2002;1:701-706.
113. Sundararaj V, Muthukumar S and Kumar RS. An optimal cluster formation-based energy efficient dynamic scheduling hybrid MAC protocol for heavy traffic load in wireless sensor networks. *Comput Secur* 2018;77:277-288.
114. Sundararaj V. An efficient threshold prediction scheme for wavelet-based ECG signal noise reduction using variable step size firefly algorithm. *Int J Intell Eng Syst* 2016;9(3):117-126.
115. Sundararaj V. Optimal task assignment in mobile cloud computing by queue based ant-bee algorithm. *Wirel. Pers. Commun* 2019;104(1):173-197.
116. Sundararaj V. Optimized denoising scheme via opposition-based self-adaptive learning PSO algorithm for wavelet-based ECG signal noise reduction. *Int J Biomed Eng Technol* 2019;31(4):325.
117. Traore BB, Kamsu-Foguem B and Tangara F. Deep convolution neural network for image recognition. *Ecol Inform* 2018;48:257-268.
118. Bakker PA, Bakker AW, Marugg JD, Weisbeek PJ and Schippers B. Bioassay for studying the role of siderophores in potato growth stimulation by Pseudomonas in short potato rotations. *Soil Biol, Biochem* 2001;19(4):443-449.
119. Yang CC, Prasher SO, Enright P, Madramootoo C, Burgess M, Goel PK and Callum I. Application of decision tree technology for image classification using remote sensing data *Agric Syst* 2003;72(3):1101-1117.
120. Toseef M and Khan MJ. An intelligent mobile application for diagnosis of crop diseases in Pakistan using fuzzy inference system. *Comput. Electron. Agric* 2018;153:1-11.
121. LeCun Y, Bengio Y and Hinton G. Deep learning. *Nature* 2015;521(7553):536.